



25-49 Victoria Road.

Ruislip Manor, HA4

Energy Statement

Job No: 5049

Issued: December, 2023

Issue No: 3



Contents

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Project Summary.....	4
3.0	Policy Requirements and Drivers.....	5
4.0	Energy Strategy and Approach.....	6
5.0	Baseline Emissions.....	7
6.0	Be Lean Strategy.....	9
7.0	Be Clean Strategy.....	10
8.0	Be Green Strategy.....	11
9.0	Summary of Results.....	12
10.0	Conclusions.....	13
	Appendix A – GHA Overheating Calculation.....	15

Document Prepared By:

Jim Westover

Dated:

05.12.23

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink that appears to read "Jim Westover".

Document Authorised By:

Sam Westover

Dated:

05.12.23

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink that appears to read "Sam Westover".

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and may not be reproduced without prior written permission from T16 Design.

All work has been carried out within the terms of the brief using all reasonable skill, care, and diligence. No liability is accepted by T16 Design for the accuracy of data or opinions provided by others in the preparation of this report, or for any use of this report other than for the purpose for which it was produced.

1.0

Introduction

- 1.1 This Energy Statement has been prepared to support a planning application for the proposed extension of the site at 25-49 Victoria Road, Ruislip Manor.
- 1.2 The report assesses the predicted energy performance and carbon dioxide emissions of the proposed development in the context of local and London-wide policy requirements and best practice methods.
- 1.3 The methodology used to demonstrate the effects of the proposed energy efficiency measures is the 4-stage Energy Hierarchy expounded by the London Plan, Policy SI 2.
- 1.4 Emissions reductions are shown for the proposed scheme at each of these stages and the strategy underpinning them is detailed in the relevant sections of the report.
- 1.5 The overarching position within these policies is that major developments should achieve net zero carbon through the following three stages.
 - 1.5.1 Residential developments should achieve a 10% reduction in regulated emissions through energy efficiency measures.
 - 1.5.2 On site regulated emissions should be reduced by at least 35%
 - 1.5.3 There is a benchmark target of reducing emissions by at least 50%.
 - 1.5.4 The remaining emissions should be offset in accordance with the Borough's established carbon offset fund.

2.0

Project Summary

- 2.1 The proposal site occupies 25-49 Victoria Road, Ruislip Manor, and is a series of part 2 and part 3-storey buildings, primarily used for retail purposes at ground level with residential uses above.
- 2.2 The proposal is for roof extensions at 2nd and 3rd floor level over parts of the existing terrace, along with internal reconfiguration and rear deck access, creating 9 additional residential units.
- 2.3 All proposed units have been included in the energy calculations to allow for the best understanding of climate impact of the building.



Table 2 – Notional Dwelling Specification



Site Location



3.0 Policy Requirements and Drivers

3.1 The relevant planning policy documents for this site, relating to energy are:

- 3.1.1 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF 2021)
 - NPPF 11a - Plans should promote sustainable development that seeks to: meet the development needs of their area; align growth and infrastructure; improve the environment; mitigate climate change and adapt to its effects.
 - NPPF 154 - New development should be planned in ways that can help to avoid increased vulnerability to the range of impacts arising from climate change and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, such as through its location, orientation and design.
 - NPPF 155 - Help increase use and supply of renewable and low carbon energy through providing a positive strategy for energy from these sources, consider suitable areas for renewable and low energy sources and identify opportunities for the development to draw its energy supply from decentralised, renewable or low carbon energy supply.
 - NPPF 157 – In determining planning applications, local planning authorities should expect new development to: comply with any development plan policies on requirements for decentralised energy supply unless it can be demonstrated that this is not feasible or viable, and take account of landform, layout, building orientation, massing and landscaping to minimise energy consumption.
- 3.1.2 The London Plan (2021)
 - Policy SI 2 – Minimizing greenhouse gas emissions – developments should achieve a minimum of 35% reduction in on site CO₂ emissions.
- 3.1.3 Hillingdon Local Plan Part 2 – Development Management Policies (2020):
 - Policy DME1 2 'Reducing Carbon Emissions'.
 - Policy DME1 3 'Decentralised Energy'
- 3.2 In light of these policy requirements and through the developer and design team's commitment to reducing the impact of the development on the environment, this report sets out some of the measures that will be adopted to meet the policy targets.



4.0 Energy Strategy and Approach

- 4.1 The London Plan document titled "Energy Assessment Guidance", updated in June 2022 updated to take into account of the update to building regulations 2021. This provides the parameters by which Energy Statements should be formulated and the approach to be adopted.
- 4.2 The four stages of the hierarchy are referred to as Be Lean (Use Less Energy), Be Clean (Supply Energy Efficiently), Be Green (Use Renewable Energy) and Be Seen (Monitor, verify and report on energy performance).
- 4.3 The Be Lean stage of the hierarchy requires that developments must initially reduce the energy demand of the building through architectural and building fabric measures (passive design) and energy efficient services (active design).
- 4.4 The second part of the Hierarchy (Be Clean), is interested in the how their energy systems will exploit local energy resources and supply energy efficiently and cleanly to reduce CO₂.
- 4.5 The third stage is the addition, where feasible to introduce renewable technology, which may include Heat pumps (Air and Ground), PV panel, Solar Hot Water panels and Wind Power.
- 4.6 The first stage of this process is to establish the baseline emissions on which the reductions will be based.
- 4.7 This is done using SAP (Standard Assessment Procedure) for residential buildings.



5.0 Baseline Emissions

- 5.1 The baseline emissions on which reduction figures are based are calculated using SAP for residential buildings.
- 5.2 SAP calculates a notional building using the baseline Building Regulations parameters and represents the minimum allowable standard for the energy performance of the building to meet Part L.
- 5.3 The parameters used are defined by the methodology and represent a target upon which improvements can be measured
- 5.4 The GLA emissions reporting tool provides the baseline emissions.
- 5.5 The baseline emissions for each element are below.

Domestic Baseline Emissions SAP 10 (Tonnes CO ₂ /Year)	
Regulated	8.4 Tonnes CO ₂
Unregulated	5.4 Tonnes CO ₂
Total	13.8 Tonnes CO ₂

Table 1 – 25-49 Victoria Road Baseline Emissions



5.0 Baseline Emissions

Notional dwelling specification for new dwellings	
Element or system	Reference value for target setting
Opening areas (windows, roof windows, rooflights and doors)	Same as for actual dwelling not exceed a total area of openings of 25% of total floor area
External walls (inc semi-exposed)	$U = 0.18 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{k})$
Party walls	$U = 0.00$
Floors	$U = 0.13 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{k})$
Roofs	$U = 0.11 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{k})$
Opaque Door (Less than 30% glazed area)	$U = 1.0 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{k})$
Semi-glazed door (30-60% glazed area)	$U = 1.0 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{k})$
Windows and glazed with greater than 60% glazed area)	$U = 1.2 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{k})$ Frame factor = 0.7
Roof windows	$U = 1.2 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{k})$, when in vertical position (for correction due to angle see specification in SAP 10 appendix R)
Rooflights	$U = 1.7 \text{ W}/(\text{m}^2\text{k})$, when in horizontal position (for correction due to angle see specification in SAP10 appendix R)
Ventilation system	Natural ventilation with intermittent extract fans
Air Permeability	$5 \text{ m}^3 /(\text{hm}^2)$ at 50 Pa
Main heating fuel (space and water)	Mains gas
Boiler	Efficiency, SEDBUK 2009 = 89.5%
Heating system controls	Boiler interlock, Erp Class V Either: <ul style="list-style-type: none">-Single storey dwelling in which the living area is greater than 70% of the total floor area: programmer and room thermostat-Any other dwelling: time and temperature zone control. Thermostatic radiator valves
Hot water system	Heat by boiler (regular or combi as above) Separate time control for space and water heating
Wastewater heat recovery	All showers connected to WWHR, including showers over baths
Hot water cylinder	Instantaneous WWHR with 36% recovery efficiency utilisation of 0.98 If cylinder, declared loss factor = $0.85 \times (0.2 + 0.051 V^{2/3}) \text{ kWh/day}$
Lighting	Where V is the volume of the cylinder in litres Fixed lighting capacity (lm) = $185 \times \text{total floor area}$
Air conditioning	Efficacy of all fixed lighting = 80 lm/W
Photovoltaic (PV) system	None For houses: kWp = 40% of ground floor area, including unheated spaces/ 6.5 For flats: kWp = 40% of dwelling floor area/ (6.5 x number of storeys in block) System facing south-east or south west

Table 2 – Notional Dwelling Specification



6.0 Be Lean Strategy

- 6.1 The next stage, once the baseline has been established, is to make improvements within the "Be Lean" category. This includes improving the U Values and the reduction of thermal bridging.
- 6.2 Electric Combi Boilers with an efficiency of 100% have been assumed at this stage.
- 6.3 Electrical lighting also represents a significant energy use within a building.
- 6.4 The dwellings will be naturally ventilated
- 6.5 100% low energy lighting is proposed to reduce emissions. The proposed lighting for the development will have an efficacy of 100 lumens per circuit watt.
- 6.6 Improved thermal bridging is proposed it is assumed that the Y-value will be 0.035. The PSI values for the relevant junctions will be confirmed at detailed design stage,
- 6.7 The proposed U-values, with the relevant Part L backstop are shown on the following page.
- 6.8 Following the implementation of these passive design measures including the building services the reduction in emissions at the 'Be Lean' stage have been calculated these are shown below.

Be Lean Fabric Specification			
Element or system	Notional Value	Proposed Value	% Improvement
External walls	U = 0.18 W/(m ² k)	U = 0.15 W/(m ² k)	16.6%
Party walls	U = 0.00	N/A	N/A
Roof	U = 0.11 W/(m ² k)	U = 0.10 W/(m ² k)	9.1%
Windows and glazed doors	U = 1.2 W/(m ² k)	U = 1. W/(m ² k)	8.3%
Air Permeability	5 m ³ / (hm ²) at 50 Pa	5 m ³ / (hm ²) at 50 Pa	0.00%
Lighting	Efficacy of all fixed lighting = 80 lm/W	Efficacy of all fixed lighting = 100 lm/W	40.00%


Table 4 – Be Lean Fabric Specification

Be Lean Emissions			
	Baseline Emissions (Tonnes CO ₂ /Year)	Be Lean Emissions (Tonnes CO ₂ /Year)	% Reduction
Regulated	8.4	5.3	36%
Unregulated	5.4	5.4	-
Total	13.8	10.7	22.5%

Table 4 – Be Lean Emissions

7.0 Be Clean Strategy

- 7.1 The Be Clean element of the hierarchy refers to supplying energy in a clean manner. This encompasses the use of energy efficient heating sources (such as heat pumps), decentralised energy and heat networks and the consideration of Combined Heat and Power.
- 7.2 The site does not sit within 500m of any **existing** or **proposed** decentralized energy or heating networks (as shown on the map below).
- 7.3 Due to the relatively small scale of the proposal, CHP is also not a viable solution. London Plan guidance suggests that CHP is most suitable for developments of at least 500 units.
- 7.4 As there are currently no existing opportunities to connect to a heat network, and CHP is not suitable here, no changes have been made at the Be Clean stage.



8.0 Be Green Strategy

- 8.1 The Be Green element of the hierarchy requires the consideration of renewable technologies to reduce emissions still further beyond the savings made at the Be Lean and Be Clean stages.
- 8.2 The technologies that are considered here are wind power and solar panels (photovoltaic (PV) or Solar Thermal and ground and air source heat pumps.
- 8.3 Wind power is not suitable in a location such as this. Wind turbines tend to perform poorly in built-up areas.
- 8.4 Any wind that is received on the site would be too intermittent and turbulent to provide any meaningful reduction in emissions.
- 8.5 Ground Source Heat Pumps are also unlikely to be a viable proposition due to the ground disturbance required in their installation.
- 8.6 Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHP) are also not viable as there is no available plant space or areas to place individual heat pumps.
- 8.7 The electric boilers will run at a temperature of 35-45 degrees.
- 8.8 Photovoltaic Panels are also considered suitable for this site. It is proposed that a total of **25.2kWp** of PV will be installed for this development. Using 0.5kWp panels, a total of 51 panels. These should be positioned facing south or south-east, where they are most effective.
- 8.9 The reductions in emissions at the Be Green stage are shown below.

Domestic – Be Green Emissions			
	Be Lean Emissions (Tonnes CO ₂ /Year)	Be Green Emissions (Tonnes CO ₂ /Year)	% Reduction
Regulated	5.3	3.6	21%
Unregulated	5.4	5.4	-
Total	10.7	9	15.9%

Table 5 – Be Green Emissions



9.0 Summary of Results

- 9.1 The tables below give the percentage improvement in emissions at each stage of the hierarchy and the overall savings made over Part L of the Building Regulations.
- 9.2 The development will be provided with increased U values (as shown above), PV and integrated ASHPs.
- 9.3 The figures below have been calculated using the GLA carbon emission reporting tool and show the total CO₂ emissions expected.

25-49 Victoria Road	CO ₂ Emissions (Tonnes)	% Reduction
Baseline (Part L 2022)	8.4	
After energy demand reduction	5.3	36.00%
After heat network / CHP	5.3	0.00%
After renewable energy	3.6	21.00%
Total Savings	4.8	57.00%

Table 6 – Carbon emissions savings at each stage of the Energy Hierarchy



10.0 Conclusions

- 10.1 This Energy Statement has been produced to accompany an application for the redevelopment of the site at 25-49 Victoria Road, Ruislip Manor, to show how the site will meet the policy requirement of achieving 35% reduction in emissions through the Be Lean, Be Clean, Be Green hierarchy.
- 10.2 In doing so, preliminary SAP calculations have been undertaken using the information available and sensible assumptions on construction and M&E parameters.
- 10.3 The baseline figures have been calculated and improvements made to the fabric and plant proposed for the scheme.
- 10.4 The measures proposed are detailed above summarise at Be Lean as
 - 10.4.1 Significant fabric improvements
 - 10.4.2 Good air tightness
- 10.5 The measures proposed at Be Green can be summarised as
 - 10.5.1 PV panels
- 11.6 The results in Section 10 show that a reduction of 57% of regulated CO₂ emissions is achieved, using the GLA's carbon emission reporting spreadsheet. This greatly exceeds the target and provides room for changes should they be required through the detailed design process.
- 11.7 The requirement for a 10% improvement at the Be Lean stage has also been achieved.
- 11.8 The total remaining regulated emissions for the development is 3.6 tonnes and total unregulated emissions is 5.4 tonnes. The offset payment requires £95 per tonne of regulated emissions over 30 years.
- 11.9 Therefore, the total offset payment at this stage is $(3.6 \times 30 \times 95) = \text{£10,292}$. The final contribution amount will be confirmed at the detailed design stage.



T16 Design Ltd.

T: 01206 572452

E: info@t16design.com

W: www.t16design.com

© 2023



Appendix A – GHA Overheating Calculation

EARLY STAGE OVERHEATING RISK TOOL

Version 1.0, July 2019

This tool provides guidance on how to assess overheating risk in residential schemes at the early stages of design. It is specifically a pre-detail design assessment intended to help identify factors that could contribute to or mitigate the likelihood of overheating.

The questions can be answered for an overall scheme or for individual units. Score zero wherever the question does not apply.

Additional information is provided in the accompanying guidance, with examples of scoring and advice on next steps.

Find out more information and download accompanying guidance at goodhomes.org.uk/overheating-in-new-homes.



KEY FACTORS INCREASING THE LIKELIHOOD OF OVERHEATING

KEY FACTORS REDUCING THE LIKELIHOOD OF OVERHEATING

Geographical and local context

#1 Where is the scheme in the UK? See guidance for map	South east	4	4
	Northern England, Scotland & NI	0	
	Rest of England and Wales	2	
#2 Is the site likely to see an Urban Heat Island effect? See guidance for details	Central London (see guidance)	3	
	Grtr London, Manchester, B'ham	2	2
	Other cities, towns & dense suburban areas	1	

#8 Do the site surroundings feature significant blue/green infrastructure?

Proximity to green spaces and large water bodies has beneficial effects on local temperatures; as guidance, this would require at least 50% of surroundings within a 100m radius to be blue/green, or a rural context

1

0

Site characteristics

#3 Does the site have barriers to windows opening? - Noise/Acoustic risks - Poor air quality/smells e.g. near factory or car park or very busy road - Security risks/crime - Adjacent to heat rejection plant	Day - reasons to keep all windows closed	8	4
	Day - barriers some of the time, or for some windows e.g. on quiet side	4	
	Night - reasons to keep all windows closed	8	0
	Night - bedroom windows OK to open, but other windows are likely to stay closed	4	

#9 Are immediate surrounding surfaces in majority pale in colour, or blue/green?

Lighter surfaces reflect more heat and absorb less so their temperatures remain lower; consider horizontal and vertical surfaces within 10m of the scheme

1

0

#10 Does the site have existing tall trees or buildings that will shade solar-exposed glazed areas?

Shading onto east, south and west facing areas can reduce solar gains, but may also reduce daylight levels

1

0

Scheme characteristics and dwelling design

#4 Are the dwellings flats? Flats often combine a number of factors contributing to overheating risk e.g. dwelling size, heat gains from surrounding areas; other dense and enclosed dwellings may be similarly affected - see guidance for examples	3	3
	3	0
	3	0
#5 Does the scheme have community heating? i.e. with hot pipework operating during summer, especially in internal areas, leading to heat gains and higher temperatures	3	0
	3	0
	3	0

#11 Do dwellings have high exposed thermal mass AND a means for secure and quiet night ventilation?

Thermal mass can help slow down temperature rises, but it can also cause properties to be slower to cool, so needs to be used with care - see guidance

1

1

#12 Do floor-to-ceiling heights allow ceiling fans, now or in the future?

Higher ceilings increase stratification and air movement, and offer the potential for ceiling fans

>2.8m and fan installed

2

1

> 2.8m

1

Solar heat gains and ventilation

#6 What is the estimated average glazing ratio for the dwellings? (as a proportion of the facade on solar-exposed areas i.e. orientations facing east, south, west, and anything in between). Higher proportions of glazing allow higher heat gains into the space	>65%	12	
	>50%	7	4
	>35%	4	

#13 Is there useful external shading?

Shading should apply to solar exposed (E/S/W) glazing. It may include shading devices, balconies above, facade articulation etc. See guidance on "full" and "part". Scoring depends on glazing proportions as per #6

Full Part

>65% 6 3

1

>50% 4 2

0

>35% 2 1

#7 Are the dwellings single aspect? Single aspect dwellings have all openings on the same facade. This reduces the potential for ventilation	Single-aspect	3	0
	Dual aspect	0	

#14 Do windows & openings support effective ventilation?

Larger, effective and secure openings will help dissipate heat - see guidance

Openings compared to Part F purge rates

= Part F +50% +100%

3

Single-aspect	3	4
Dual aspect	2	3

TOTAL SCORE 12 = **Sum of contributing factors:** 17

minus

Sum of mitigating factors: 5

High

12

Medium

8

Low



score >12:

Incorporate design changes to reduce risk factors and increase mitigation factors AND Carry out a detailed assessment (e.g. dynamic modelling against CIBSE TM59)

score between 8 and 12:

Seek design changes to reduce risk factors and/or increase mitigation factors AND Carry out a detailed assessment (e.g. dynamic modelling against CIBSE TM59)

score <8:

Ensure the mitigating measures are retained, and that risk factors do not increase (e.g. in planning conditions)