	REPOR GROUND INVE AT GREEN END, 17 NORTHWOOI	T ON ESTIGATION - D DENE ROAD D HA6 2BS						
CLIENT:	DAVID PARKER ARCHITECTS LIN	1ITED						
DATE: 7 FEBRUARY 2018 REF: G/121750/001								
KFGEOTECHNICAL Aldershot Hants								
CONSULTING GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERS ENGINEERS Email: info@kfgeotechnical.co.uk								
W. J	. C. WALLACE B.Eng (Hons.)	<i>Consultant</i> G. L. Martin B.Sc., M.Sc., C.Eng., M.I.C.E.						

REPORT ON GROUND INVESTIGATION AT GREEN END, 17 DENE ROAD, NORTHWOOD, HA6 2BS

CONTENTS

Section 1	-	Introduction
Section 2	-	The Site
Section 3	-	Site Work
Section 4	-	Laboratory Work
Section 5	-	Discussion

APPENDICES

Site Location Plan

Borehole Logs

Laboratory Soil Testing

Contamination Test Results

Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) Test Results

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1.1 We were instructed by David Parker Architects acting on behalf of the developers of the site, to carry out a ground investigation by means of 1 No. shell and auger borehole and 3 No. hand augered boreholes together with associated laboratory soil testing and preliminary contamination testing. We were also instructed to carry out a small-scale soakaway test.
- 1.2 The purpose of the investigation was to determine ground conditions etc for the design of foundations for the construction of three detached houses with gardens.
- 1.3 The shell and auger borehole took place on the 6 December 2017 with the follow-up hand augered boreholes and soakaway test etc being undertaken on the 8 January 2017.

2. <u>THE SITE</u>

- 2.1 Dene Road forms part of a large residential area to the north of Northwood in northwest London. Green End lies within a section of Dene Road which is private and it is a large detached property with significant grounds which lies back from the southern edge of Dene Road and is approached by a driveway leading up to the front left corner of the house to a parking and turning area. Remote from the rear left corner of the house is a garage and there are gardens extending to the front and rear. There is a wooded area towards the rear and in particular the rear right corner of the property and within and behind this it is proposed to construct three detached houses which will be accessed from Foxdell which is a cul-de-sac which consists of a south turning slightly further to the west along Dene Road from the property.
- 2.2 The site is basically level.
- 2.3 The Geology of Great Britain indicates that the naturally occurring subsoil is London Clay.

3. <u>SITE WORK</u>

- 3.1 The layout of the proposed site and the location of our boreholes is indicated on our Location Plan G/121750/101. The logs of the boreholes are appended at the rear of this report.
- 3.2 Borehole A is an 18.0m deep shell and auger borehole and this revealed topsoil over gravel rejects to 600mm over a firm to stiff brown sandy silty clay becoming stiff silty clay from 3.8m to 5.6m. Below this a stiff orange/brown/grey silty sandy clay down to 10.1m below which is sand which starts off as silver silty sand becoming a dense brown/green silty sand and then at 13.0m sand and gravel which was proved to the base of the borehole at 18.0m. Roots of live appearance were encountered within the top 400mm. The borehole was dry on completion other than some added water lying at the base of the borehole. This water was added continuously from 10.1m to facilitate drilling through the granular material.
- 3.3 Borehole 1, 2 and 3 were put down by hand auger. Borehole 1 was put down at the front of Plot 1 and revealed topsoil overlying a firm silty clay becoming stiff and more sandy below 800mm. From 2.8m there was some fine gravel and this was proved to the base of the borehole at 3.5m. There was a water strike at 2.8m and the water was standing at 1.8m on completion. Roots of live appearance were encountered to 2.8m.
- 3.4 Borehole 2 was put down at the rear of Plot 2 and this revealed turf and topsoil to 350mm over fill material consisting of ash and clinker to 850mm. Below this is a firm silty clay becoming stiff below 1.8m and more sandy and this was proved to the base of the borehole at 1.9m below which it was too stiff to auger.
- 3.5 Borehole 3 was put down towards the front of the proposed Plot 3 and this revealed turf and topsoil to 400mm over a firm silty clay overlying at 2.7m a silty clayey sand which was proved to the base of the borehole at 3.1m. The borehole was terminated at this depth due to an obstruction which would appear to be claystone. There was water seepage coincident with a pocket of sand at 1.9m and water was standing at 1.8m on completion.

- 3.6 In-situ testing by hand held vane test was carried out at regular depths in each of the hand augered boreholes. In the shell and auger borehole SPT tests were carried out at regular intervals throughout the depths of the borehole. The results of all this testing is indicated on the individual logs.
- 3.7 Disturbed samples were taken at regular depths in all of the boreholes and these were bagged a labelled and sent to our laboratories for appropriate geotechnical analysis.
- 3.8 Five near surface samples were taken at locations across the site as indicated on the site plan and these were bagged and labelled and sent via coolbox to our specialist laboratories for analysis.
- 3.9 Each of the samples was typical of topsoil type material except possibly the sample from S3 which was collected close to borehole 2 where there was fill material to at least 850mm.
- 3.10 A small-scale soakaway test was carried out at the location indicated on the site plan. A 300mm square pit was excavated to a depth of 800mm. At 9.35am 300mm depth of water was fed into the pit but the level had not changed after 4 hours and the test was abandoned.

4. <u>LABORATORY WORK</u>

4.1 Geotechnical Analysis.

- 4.1.1 Moisture contents were determined on all the samples taken from the hand augered boreholes with liquid and plastic limits being determined on samples taken from 1.0m and 2.0m in borehole 1, 1.0m and 1.5m in borehole 2 and 1.0m and 2.0m in boreholes 3.
- 4.1.2 Where tested the clay is all of high to very high plasticity and of correspondingly high shrinkage potential according to the NHBC or similar standards. The sample at 2.0m in borehole 1 is anomalous to this, being only of medium shrinkage.

4.1.3 A comparison of the moisture contents with the liquid and plastic limits reveals possible significant desiccation at 2.0m in borehole 1 and again at 2.0m in borehole 3.

4.2 **Contamination Analysis**

- 4.2.1 Each of the five near surface samples were placed in suitable sealed containers and sent via coolbox to our specialist laboratories, Chemtest, for analysis.
- 4.2.2 Each sample was tested for the range of common toxic metals and metalloids, phytotoxic elements and organics, pH and sulphates plus total petroleum hydrocarbons. The results are appended.
- 4.2.3 There has been recent updated Soil Guideline Values (SGV) issued for arsenic, cadmium, mercury, nickel, selenium and phenol. The results have been compared against appropriate SGV for these determinands. Where new values have not been issued, then the results have been compared against previous SGVs, which relate to lead and chromium or against Generic Assessment Criteria (GAC) based on the CLEA model produced by LQM and others.
- 4.2.4 The proposal is for houses with gardens and the most appropriate SGVs, C4SLs etc are, therefore, those for Residential with the potential for Plant Uptake.
- 4.2.5 When the results are compared against the appropriate threshold there are undue concentrations of:

Arsenic. The threshold is 32mg/kg and this exceeded in sample S3 with a concentration of 39mg/kg.

Lead. The threshold based on C4SLs is 200mg/kg and this is exceeded in the near surface sample taken from S1 and S3 with concentrations of 590mg/kg and 280mg/kg respectively.

4.2.6 Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) Testing was carried out on a single sample taken from between 300mm and 400mm at location S5. The results indicate that there are no undue concentrations of any of the determinands for inert waste.

5. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

5.1 Geotechnical Aspects

- 5.1.1 The ground investigation revealed the anticipated geology with the subsoil being London Clay with the clay being of high to very high plasticity. The clay overlies sands and gravels from 10.0m but there were also bands of granular material at shallower depth as indicated by, in particular, borehole 3 which encountered a clayey sand at 2.7m which was also water bearing.
- 5.1.2 The in-situ vane testing at 1.0m depth leads to a minimum shear strength across the site of 70kPa which equates to a safe bearing capacity of 140kPa. This is consistent with the SPT value at this depth from the deeper borehole. Across the site the clay gets stiffer with depth which may or may not be due to a degree of desiccation brought about by the action of the roots of nearby trees. Live roots were encountered in borehole 1 down to 2.8m but were largely absent in boreholes 2 and 3. The presence of the roots is consistent with the stiffness and the moisture contents of the clay between 1.5m and 2.5m in borehole 1. The lower moisture content at 2.0m in borehole 3 is probably more related to the sand content at this depth.
- 5.1.3 There are numerous and significant trees on and near the site and when these are taken into account, the depth of the foundations to guard against the potential for clay shrinkage, is likely to be excessive and we would recommend piling of this site. Based on the top 3.0m of the piles being sleeved. which is a general NHBC or similar standards recommendation, we would recommend designing the piles on the basis of a cohesion of 130kPa and an adhesion factor (α) of 0.45. There was water seepage at relatively shallow depth in two of the hand augered boreholes. This is almost certainly perched water standing within bands of more granular material and probably would not have a significant effect on an open hole flight augered pile, but as a precaution we would recommend CFA piling.

- 5.1.4 As the near surface subsoil is clay across the site we would recommend suspended ground floors. There is no sign of desiccation below 3.0m and therefore anti-heave precautions will not be required to the piles below the sleeved depth but anti-heave measures should be installed beneath any ground beams and this should be installed in-line with NHBC or similar standards.
- 5.1.5 As anticipated for a clay subsoil, the soakaway did not work. Granular material was encountered below 10.01m in the deep borehole and therefore some form of borehole soakaway might work if extended into this material. In order to determine the adequacy of such a soakaway a falling head test should be carried out in a further borehole.

5.2 **Contamination Aspects**

5.2.1 The contamination testing revealed undue concentrations of arsenic and lead in sample S3 and of lead alone is sample S1. This is probably due to careless disposal of paint or fuel or other household waste as is common at the rear of gardens. Because the subsoil is clay, this contamination will be confined to the near surface topsoil and fill material and this is confirmed by the WAC tests which indicated inert subsoil for landfill purposes. In the light of the contamination test results we would recommend further testing in proposed garden areas to determine the lateral and vertical extent of the contamination. Following this further testing a remediation method statement (if required) can be provided. We would recommend carrying out a series of samples on a 2.0m-3.0m radius from locations S1 and S3 with the samples being taken at between ground level and 300m and again at between 500mm and 600mm at each location.

W J C Wallace



K. F. Geotechnical			Boreho	le	Α				Ref: G121750
85 Alexandra Road Farnborough Tel : (0	1252) 518821		Sheet:	1		Scale:	1:5	0	Date: 6/12/17
Hants Fax : (0 GU14 6BN Email : kf	1252) 370394 group@fbro.de	mon.co.uk	Client:	DAY	VID PARI	KER ASSO	CIATES		0.42
Equipment & Method : Shell & Auger	c		Locatio	on: GRE	EEN END,	, NORTHW	OOD		
		Reduced	Legend	Denth	San	nples	Tes	sts	Field Notes
Description of Strata [thick	nessj	Level	Legenu	Deput	Туре	Depth	Туре	Value	Tield Notes
TOPSOIL: dark brown sandy sil (0.40)	ty clay.	Ē			D	0.30			
Rounded gravel (rejects) (0.2 Firm to stiff brown sandy sil	0) ty CLAY	-0.40 0.60	×	0.40	D	0.70			appearance to 0.4m
(3.20)		<u>.</u>	×		D	1.00	s	N=11	
		-	x x x x x		_	2.00		1-20	
			xx xx x		D	2.00	5	N-20	
			x x x x x x		D	3.00	S	N=21	
Stiff light brown/orange gilt	W CLAY	-3.80	× · , ·	3.80	D	3.80			
(1.80)	y clini		x x x x x x	2	D	4.10	S	N=29	
		-	xx x x	5 73	D	5.00	S	N=42	
Stiff orange/brown/grey silty CLAY (4.50)	/ sandy	5.60 	x x x x x	5.60	D	5.60			
			x x x x x x x x x x x x		D	6.50	S	N=46	
			xx xx xx xx xx xx		D	8.00	S	N=58	
			x x x x x x x x x x		D	9.50	S	N=62	
Where 0.3m penetration has not beer for the quoted penetration is given. (It All depths and reduced levels are in n Water level observations during boring U Undisturbed Sample D Disturbed Sample V B Bulk Sample W Water Sample	n achieved, the Not the N value netres. g are given on <u>Standard Pen</u> Vane Test Mackintosh P	e number of e) the last she etration Te robe	blows eet of the l	og.	Remar Boreh Water Addec	ks nole case added d l water l	ed to 17 continuo lying at	.5m usly from 17.1m on	10.1m completion

K. F. Geotech	nnical		Boreho	le	A				Ref:	G121750
85 Alexandra Road	Tel (01252) 51882	1	Sheet:	1		Scale:	1:	:50	Date:	6/12/17
Hants GU14 6BN	Fax : (01252) 370394 Email : kfgroup@fbro.de	4 emon.co.uk	Client:	DAV	/ID PAR	KER ASSO	CIATES		181	
Equipment & Method : Shell	l & Auger		Locatio	on: GRE	EEN END	, NORTHW	100D			
Description of Str	ata [thickness]	Reduced	Legend	Depth	San	nples	Т	ests		Field Notes
(dent in all)		Level	-	10.10	Туре	Depth	Туре	Value		
(Continued) Stiff orange/brown/gr CLAY (4.50) dense fine silver sil	cey silty sandy (ty SAND (0.90)		× × ×	10.10	D	10.20	S	N=61 for	50mm	
Dense brown/green sil	lty SAND (2.00)			11.00	ם	11.50				
Dense brown silty SAN consisting of black r	ND and GRAVEL counded stone (5.00			13.00	ם	13.00 1.50				
					۵	16.00				
Base of	Borehole			18.00	D	17.50				
vvnere U. 3m penetration h for the quoted penetration All depths and reduced lev Water level observations d U Undisturbed Sample D Disturbed Sample	as not been achieved, th is given. (Not the N valu lels are in metres. luring boring are given on S Standard Per V Vane Test	e number of e) the last she netration Te	eet of the list	og.	Reman Borel Water Addeo	ks nole case r added d water	ed to 1 continu lying a	.7.5m wously fro at 17.1m o	m 10.1m n completi	on
B Bulk Sample W Water Sample	MP Mackintosh	Probe								

K F. Geotechnical		Boreh	ole	1				Ref: G121750
85 Alexandra Road		Sheet:	: 1		Scale	: 1:7	20	Date: 8/1/18
Farnborough Tel : (01252) 51882 Hants Fax : (01252) 37039	1 14	Client				Second	10	9857 SET 204 SET 2
SU14 6BN Email : Ktgroup@toro.uk	emon.co.uk	Locati	LIA.	VID PAR	KER ASSU	CIATES		
Method : Hand Auger	-	LUGGA	GRI	EEN END	, NORTHW	JOOD T		-1
Description of Strata [thickness]	Reduced Level	Legend	Depth	San	nples Denth	Туре	sts Value	Field Notes
urf over TOPSOIL: medium dense clayey	-		8	134~	Depai	1,100	Vunce	
ravelly sand (0.30)	Ē		k			i	I	
1 1	-0.30		0.30			i	ł	
rm brown/orange/grey slity clar (0.50	Ē	xx	8					
	-	x x		D	0.50	v	92	
	Ē	x	1				1	
tiff brown/orange/grey silty CLAY	E0.80	×x	0.80				I	
2.00)	Ē	xx		D	1 00	v l	120+	
	Ē	x	Ì	5	1.00		130.	
	-	xx				i	ł	
		x				i	1	
	Ē	xx	1	D	1.50	v	130+	
	-	×						
	E E	x	-			i	1	
	Ē	x	+			i	1	
	Ē	×		D	2.00	v	130+	
		x	1				I	
	Ē	xx				i	ł	
	<u>-</u>	×					I	
	Ē	x		D	2.50	v	130+	
		xx	8				I	
	-2.80	×	2.80				ł	Roots of live
tiff brown silty sandy gravelly char (0.70)		xx		14.00				appearance to 2.0m
	E E	x xx		D	3.00	v	130+	
	-	x		D	3.20		ł	
	Ē	xx				i	ł	
	E -2 50	xx	- 2.50			i	1	
Base of Borehole		to statut Norw	- 3.00			i	ł	
	Ē						I	
	-					i	I	
	Ē							
Where 0.3m penetration has not been achieved, th for the quoted penetration is given. (Not the N val-	ne number o'	fblows		Remar	rks	- 1		
All depths and reduced levels are in metres. Water level observations during boring are given or	n the last sh	eet of the	log.	Borel	stancı nole col	ng at i. lapsing	.8m on comp from 3.5m	letion
U Undisturbed Sample S Standard Pe	netration Tr	est	1000					
D Disturbed Sample v valle rest B Bulk Sample MP Mackintosh	Probe							

K F Geotechnical		Boreho	ole	2				Ref: G121750
85 Alexandra Road		Sheet:	1		Scale:	1:2	0	Date: 8/1/18
Hants Fax : (01252) 5188. GU14 6BN Email : kfgroup@fbro.	21 94 demon.co.uk	Client:	DA	VID PAR	KER ASSC	CIATES		
Equipment & Hand Auger		Locatio	on:	EEN END	NORTHW			
Method	Reduced	Logond	Dopth	San	n <mark>p</mark> les	Tes	sts	Field Notes
Description of Strata [thickness]	Level	Logona	Depui	Type	Depth	Туре	Value	Tick Hotes
furf over TOPSOIL: medium dense clayey gravelly sand (0.35)	Ē							
	F		}					
MADE GROUND: ash and clinker (0.50)			0.35					Roots of live appearance to 0.35m
	Ē							
	Ē							
	E		}					
Firm brown/orange/grey silty CLAY (0.9	5 -0.85	×	0.85					
	F	×		D	1.00	v	70	
	E	××	5					
	È	×						
	F	×						
	E	×		D	1.50	v	130+	
	Ē	×						
Stiff brown silty sandy CLAY (0.10)	-1.80	×	1.80					
Base of Borehole	-E -1.90	· ×	1.90					
	E							
	F							
	Ē.							
	E							
	E							
	Ē							
	Ē							
	E							
	Ē							
	E							
	E							
	Ē							
	E							
	F							
	Ē							
Where 0.3m penetration has not been achieved, t for the guoted penetration is given. (Not the N va	he number o	blows		Remar	ks			
All depths and reduced levels are in metres. Water level observations during boring are given o	n the last sh	eet of the	log.	Too s	tiff/de	nse to a	uger belov	v 1.9m
U Undisturbed Sample S Standard P D Disturbed Sample V Vane Test	enetration Te	st						

K. F. Geotechnical		Boreho	le	3				Ref: G121750
85 Alexandra Road	1	Sheet:	1		Scale:	1:2	0	Date: 8/1/18
Hants Fax : (01252) 37039- GU14 6BN Email : kfgroup@fbro.de	4 emon.co.uk	Client:	DAV	/ID PARE	ER ASSO	CIATES		d
Equipment & Method : Hand Auger	80	Locatio	on: _{GRE}	EEN END,	NORTHW	OOD		
	Reduced	Lagand	Denth	Sam	ples	Tes	sts	Field Notes
Description of Strata [thickness]	Level	Legend	Deput	Туре	Depth	Туре	Value	Tick Notes
Turf over TOPSOIL: medium dense clayey gravelly sand (0.40)								
Firm brown/orange/grey silty CLAY (0.40		xx xx xx	0.40	D	0.50	v	52	
Firm brown/orange/grey silty CLAY (1.90		xx x xx xx xx		D	1.00	v	70	
		xx x xx xx xx		D	1.50	v	102	
		x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x		D	2.00	v	96	
		xx xx xx xx xx	2.70	D	2.50	v	90	Water strike at 2.7m
Medium dense orange silty clayey SAND (0.40) Base of Borehole	3.10	*	- 3 . 10	D	3.00	v	130+	
Where 0.3m penetration has not been achieved, the for the quoted penetration is given. (Not the N value All depths and reduced levels are in metres. Water level observations during boring are given on U Undisturbed Sample S Standard Penetron Disturbed Sample V Vane Test B Bulk Sample	e number of the last shu netration Te	blows eet of the l	og.	Remar Water Obstr	ks standir uction a	ng at 1. at 3.1m.	8m on compl Probably (letion Claystone



Trentside Geotechnical Testing

Highlands Farm, Southend Road Rettendon, Essex, CM3 8EB **Telephone/Fax:** 020 3488 0311 **Mobile:** 07508 853739 **Email:** info@trentsidegeotechnical.co.uk **Website**: www.trentsidegeotechnical.co.uk



Col	ntent Summary
Lab Reference : TG	GT2632
Client Reference : -	
For the attention of : Bi	II Wallace
This report comprises of the following : 3	Test Summary Reports
1	Moisture vs Depth Chart
1	Plasticity Chart
1	Limitations
Notes :	
General	
Please refer to report summary notes for details pertaining to methods undertaken and	d their subsequent accreditations
Samples were supplied by Customer	
All tests performed in-house unless otherwise stated	
Devient Samples	
Samples were received in suitable containers	Yes
A date and time of sampling was provided	Yes
Arrived damage/denaturing free	Yes

Interestination Reservations Reservations Sectimation Reservations Reservati	5				Date Received :	23/01/2018		
of The Instruction Section Section Section Section Section Section Section	3			Dete	te Testing Started esting Completed Laboratory Used	25/01/2018 02/02/2018 Trentside G	eotechnical, CIV	3 868
0 30 <5	ndee Liquidity Indee Modified In (Notified I	Findery Sei Case [7 [6]	The Paper 5 Contact Time 20 (h) [n]	and their period of the period	These Depart Content	pit veue	20%eede2	Clear (141)
0 37 5 65 23 42 0 20 5 55 23 37 0 21 5 59 22 37 0 19 5 59 22 37 0 21 5 59 22 37 0 31 5 59 23 37 0 31 5 59 23 37 0 31 5 5 5 5 5 0 31 5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
0 20 5 59 23 37 0 21 5 59 22 37 0 19 5 5 5 5 0 26 5 5 5 5 0 31 5 5 5	0.32 4	12 CH		-	-			5
D 21 S 59 23 37 D 19 S <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-01</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				-01				
0 19 S 19	-0.04 3	(7 CH						
D 26 55	-							-
0 31 <5				2_0				
	-							
	-	10	-	1			-	5
				<u></u>				-
	0		0. 7	_				_
				2-0				
						No.		
[7] 05 5300 : 1940 - 5 gue 21 - Particity Chart for the clauffortion of fine sols		#4:220150[21]	t 1 - 1990, Tele No 5.6			•	Outurted comple	
[31] In-House method 35e edigned from \$315 IP 4/933		[11]504+11×5	6			e	Bulk sample	
[3] Values of shear strength were descrimed in stip by using a Pitcon hand upre of Geon-	strates (GV)	[14] BNE Special D	iget One (Concrete in Ag	perior Ground (2005			UIDD [unditionhed :	(e)due
8-04 Test 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2		Note that if t consider the servic magnetium teste	An SD ₄ content fully into the SD ₄ for th	e DS-4 or DS-5 dem, theo n or DS-5m diem respectiv themetre	uld be prudent to Ay union water to the	× 2 1	Water sample Exercisely Non-Plan	4C)
Checks	dBr > GW					Date Credind :	02745-10	

The Parentinet Parenti	6. Micritiwood Liskitimi Paracciant Paracciante Paracciante Paracciant Paracciant Paracciante Par	1: 1: 1012632 1: 1: F F Geotechnical 1:
	6, Morthwood Lasterne Lasterne Lasterne Lasterne Lasterne Lasterne Mili 2 i Lasterne Mili 2 i Lasterne Mili 2 i Lasterne Mili 2 i Mili 2 i Lasterne Mili 2 i Mili 2 i Mili 2 i Mili 2 i Lasterne	11: K F Geotechnical 6:

Image: 10:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:0		IOIE	A IG	L S III	chincay															
Image: space in the state in the space in the s	Job Nu Client Refe Site	Client: Client: Crence: Name:	TGT2632 K F Geotec - Green End,	Inical 17 Dene Ro	ed, Northwood										D Date Testin Date Testin Laby	ate Received : sting Started : g Completed : instory Used :	23/01/2018 25/01/2018 02/02/2018 Trentside G	8 8 Seotechnic	al, CM3 8	8
03 03 03 03 03 03 03 04 03 04 05 <th< th=""><th>2 01(TP/M5</th><th>Ample far</th><th>9</th><th>Sample Tipe</th><th>Moliture Content [N][1]</th><th>Sol Factor >0.405em N 2]</th><th>amitaluel [c](N</th><th>Plantic Unit NII 41</th><th>Planticity Index (N) [5]</th><th>Liquidity Indea (%) [5]</th><th>Modified Fluribity Index (%) [5]</th><th>Sol Dam [7]</th><th>Pitter Pager Contact Time (h) [6]</th><th>Sol Sample Suction (KPA)</th><th>hoth See Yes Step (44)(4)</th><th>Organic Conterne [N][10]</th><th>plives 11</th><th>18 (H</th><th>photo Conter 20, [11]</th><th>dan [14]</th></th<>	2 01(TP/M5	Ample far	9	Sample Tipe	Moliture Content [N][1]	Sol Factor >0.405em N 2]	amitaluel [c](N	Plantic Unit NII 41	Planticity Index (N) [5]	Liquidity Indea (%) [5]	Modified Fluribity Index (%) [5]	Sol Dam [7]	Pitter Pager Contact Time (h) [6]	Sol Sample Suction (KPA)	hoth See Yes Step (44)(4)	Organic Conterne [N][10]	plives 11	18 (H	photo Conter 20, [11]	dan [14]
01 10 101	BH3	0.5	18-0175	٥	35	8									6					
Bit B	BH3	1.0	18-0176	٥	32	8	71	22	49	0.20	49	CV								
B(3) 20 201 C 74 23 51 C <thc< td=""><td>BH3</td><td>1.5</td><td>18-0177</td><td>٥</td><td>29</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>8</td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>8</td><td></td></thc<>	BH3	1.5	18-0177	٥	29	0				8			2				-		8	
Bit 25 24015 D 26 C D D C D	BH3	2.0	18-0178	٥	21	8	74	23	51	-0.03	51	CV	00 - 24							
Bits 3.0 B-0.01 0 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0 0	BH3	2.5	18-01/9	٥	26	Ø				- 2										
Image: Sector	BH3	3.0	18-0180	٥	S	8														
Image:										2-0	2-2	;	2 6				8-39			
Image: Section of the section of th					0			8			10				0 0	6			10	
Image:																				
Image: Note:										8			7						8	
Network										8-4	6 6		24-32							
Notes: Notes: Notes: Notes: Notes: Notes: Notes: Note: Note: Note: Note: Note: Note: Note: Note: Note: Note: Note: Note: <											- 73									
Notes: Note: No																				
Interaction Interaction Interaction Interaction Interaction [10100117:5812:1980, Tate No.44 [10100117:5812:1980, Tate No.44 <td< td=""><td>Notes ></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>and another sectors</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>डा</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Notes >											and another sectors					डा			
(1)(5.1377:5#23:1300, Tat Ko 4 [2] Vacue of them strength were downined in stub fruining a Piloto hand area of device view (cV) (3)(317.5#23:1300, Tat Ko 5.4 [2] Vacue of the study of the stable area (cV) (3)(317.5#23:1300, Tat Ko 5.4 [2] Vacue of the stable area (cV) (3)(317.5#23:1300, Tat Ko 5.4 [2] Vacue of the stable area (cV) (3)(317.5#23:1300, Tat Ko 5.4 [2] Vacue of the stable area (cV) [3] Vacue of the stable area (cV) <t< td=""><td>[2] farmated in</td><td>ACM, OTH</td><td>STATES TRANSPORT</td><td>9</td><td>[1] In-trace method</td><td>od Sile adigited ho</td><td>- BUE 15- 4/30</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>05×21=105(11)</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Bulk sample</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	[2] farmated in	ACM, OTH	STATES TRANSPORT	9	[1] In-trace method	od Sile adigited ho	- BUE 15- 4/30					05×21=105(11)		1				Bulk sample		
(d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.51 Were started Were started Were started (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.51 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.51 Were started (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.51 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.51 Were started (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d) (d) (d) (11777) For 2: 1980, Tex No.54 (d)	12: 12:00 20 [0]	w12:198	0, Ted No 4.4		[6] Visium of them	interesting were det	armined in shu by u	ting a Filcon hand v	ane or George van	(dd) •		[14] DIE Special Di	peti Gre (Concrete	in Agreenies Group	rd) 2005		2	ntoo (make	thirthed wimp	8
Contractors Contractors Technisens LW Deckading CW	(4) fict 1177 : 71 (5) fict 1177 : 71 (5) fint Digest	wt 2 : 199 wt 2 : 199	0, Test No 5.1 0, Test No 5.4		######################################	13 - 1980, Terriko	* *					Note that if the consider the sample magnetism testing	e SO ₄ content faits le au faiting into the (is undertaise to p	into the DS-4 or DS- DS-4m or DS-5m ds nove otherwise	S dini, Exandeba un respectively uni-	profect to	* 2 5	Witter samp Exercisely N	de Kon-Plantic Sundation	
Technisms 100 to 100	Comments >																1000			
Technismis IVV Dediad/br. SVV Dediad/br. SVV Dediad/br. SVV																				
	Tachelolari S. D	B							Checked Days	CW							Date Charled	10-feb-10		







This report is personal to the client, confidential and non assignable. It is issued with no admission of liability to any third party.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the testing laboratory.

Where our involvement consists exclusively of testing samples, the results and comments (if provided) relate only to the samples tested.

Any samples that are deemed to be subject to deviation will be recorded as such within the test summary.



The right chemistry to deliver results Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: info@chemtest.co.uk

Final Report

Report No.:	18-00837-1		
Initial Date of Issue:	18-Jan-2018		
Client	KF Geotechnical		
Client Address:	70a Lysons Road Aldershot Hampshire GU11 EED		
Contact(s):	Ann Richardson		
Project	Green End, 17 Dent Road, Northwood		
Quotation No.:		Date Received:	12-Jan-2018
Order No.:		Date Instructed:	12-Jan-2018
No. of Samples:	5		
Turnaround (Wkdays):	5	Results Due:	18-Jan-2018
Date Approved:	18-Jan-2018		
Approved By:			
10			

Details:

Robert Monk, Technical Manager



Results - Soil

Client: KF Geotechnical	Chemtest Job No.:		18-00837	18-00837	18-00837	18-00837	18-00837		
Quotation No.:	Chemtest Sample ID.:			562750	562751	562752	562753	562754	
Order No.:		Clie	nt Samp	le Ref.:	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5
	1		Sampl	e Type:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
			Top De	pth (m):	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Bo	ttom De	pth (m):	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
			Date Sa	ampled:	09-Jan-2018	09-Jan-2018	09-Jan-2018	09-Jan-2018	09-Jan-2018
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD			6		1
Moisture	N	2030	%	0.020	25	26	29	34	35
Stones and Removed Materials	N	2030	96	0.020	< 0.020	< 0.020	< 0.020	< 0.020	< 0.020
рН	U	2010		N/A	7.9	7.4	7.4	7.2	5.5
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	U	2120	mg/kg	0.40	2.2	1.6	2.4	1.7	0.75
Sulphate (2:1 Water Soluble) as SO4	U	2120	g/l	0.010	0.059	0.017	0.024	0.015	0.013
Cyanide (Free)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Cyanide (Total)	U	2300	mg/kg	0.50	0.70	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Thiocyanate	U	2300	mg/kg	5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Sulphide (Easily Liberatable)	U	2325	mg/kg	0.50	12	14	8.5	4.9	3.0
Sulphate (Total)	U	2430	96	0.010	0.29	0.23	0.24	0.20	0.14
Arsenic	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	29	24	39	25	17
Cadmium	U	2450	mg/kg	0.10	1.6	0.62	0.83	0.34	0.18
Chromium	U	2450	mg/kg	1.0	35	25	29	26	30
Copper	U	2450	ma/ka	0.50	75	43	58	32	25
Mercury	U	2450	ma/ka	0.10	0.43	0.29	0.52	0.35	0.39
Nickel	U	2450	ma/ka	0.50	31	22	28	27	18
Lead	U	2450	ma/ka	0.50	590	190	280	110	82
Selenium	U	2450	ma/ka	0.20	1.0	0.96	1.2	1.3	1.1
Zinc	U	2450	ma/ka	0.50	610	230	320	120	76
Chromium (Hexavalent)	N	2490	ma/ka	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Organic Matter	U	2625	%	0.40	12	10	11	9.3	6.7
Total TPH >C8-C40	U	2670	ma/ka	10	[C] 52	[C] < 10	[C] < 10	IC1 < 10	[C] < 10
Naphthalene	U	2700	ma/ka	0.10	0.15	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthylene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	0.16	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Acenaphthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluorene	Ū	2700	mg/kg	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Phenanthrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	0.62	0.68	0.32	0.22	< 0.10
Anthracene	U	2700	ma/ka	0.10	0.18	0.16	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	1.9	1.8	0.82	0.67	0.39
Pyrene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	1.9	1.8	0.84	0.57	0.34
Benzolalanthracene	U	2700	ma/ka	0.10	1.3	1.2	0.52	0.14	< 0.10
Chrysene	U	2700	ma/ka	0.10	1.7	1.1	0.63	0.15	< 0.10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	2.1	1.4	0.94	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U	2700	mg/kg	0.10	1.0	0.63	0.52	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzofalpyrene	U	2700	ma/ka	0.10	1.6	0.96	0.72	< 0.10	< 0.10
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)Pyrene	U	2700	ma/ka	0.10	1.1	0.96	0.44	< 0.10	< 0.10
Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	U	2700	ma/ka	0.10	0.26	< 0.10	0.19	< 0.10	< 0.10
Benzo(a.h.ilpervlene	U	2700	ma/ka	0.10	1.3	0.72	0.60	< 0.10	< 0.10
Total Of 16 PAH's	Ú	2700	mg/ka	2.0	15	11	6.5	< 2.0	< 2.0



Results - Soil

Client: KF Geotechnical		Che	mtest Jo	b No .:	18-00837	18-00837	18-00837	18-00837	18-00837
Quotation No.:		Chemte	est Sam	ple ID.:	562750	562751	562752	562753	562754
Order No.:	1	Clie	nt Samp	le Ref.:	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5
	1		Sample	e Type:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
		Top Depth (m):		oth (m):	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1	Bot	ttom Dep	oth (m):	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
And the second	1945 I. 124		Date Sa	ampled:	09-Jan-2018	09-Jan-2018	09-Jan-2018	09-Jan-2018	09-Jan-2018
Determinand	Accred.	SOP	Units	LOD					
Total Phenols	U	2920	mg/kg	0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30



Test Methods

SOP	Title	Parameters included	Method summary
2010	pH Value of Soils	рН	pH Meter
2030	Moisture and Stone Content of Soils(Requirement of MCERTS)	Moisture content	Determination of moisture content of soil as a percentage of its as received mass obtained at <37°C.
2120	Water Soluble Boron, Sulphate, Magnesium & Chromium	Boron; Sulphate; Magnesium; Chromium	Aqueous extraction / ICP-OES
2300	Cyanides & Thiocyanate in Soils	Free (or easy liberatable) Cyanide; total Cyanide; complex Cyanide; Thiocyanate	Allkaline extraction followed by colorimetric determination using Automated Flow Injection Analyser.
2325	Sulphide in Soils	Sulphide	Steam distillation with sulphuric acid / analysis by 'Aquakem 600' Discrete Analyser, using N,N–dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine.
2430	Total Sulphate in soils	Total Sulphate	Acid digestion followed by determination of sulphate in extract by ICP-OES.
2450	Acid Soluble Metals in Soils	Metals, including: Arsenic; Barium; Beryllium; Cadmium; Chromium; Cobalt; Copper; Lead; Manganese; Mercury; Molybdenum; Nickel; Selenium; Vanadium; Zinc	Acid digestion followed by determination of metals in extract by ICP-MS.
2490	Hexavalent Chromium in Soils	Chromium [VI]	Soil extracts are prepared by extracting dried and ground soil samples into boiling water. Chromium [VI] is determined by 'Aquakem 600' Discrete Analyser using 1,5-diphenylcarbazide.
2625	Total Organic Carbon in Soils	Total organic Carbon (TOC)	Determined by high temperature combustion under oxygen, using an Eltra elemental analyser.
2670	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) in Soils by GC-FID	TPH (C8–C40); optional carbon banding, e.g. 3- band – GRO, DRO & LRO*TPH C8–C40	Dichloromethane extraction / GC-FID
2700	Speciated Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) in Soil by GC-FID	Acenaphthene; Acenaphthylene; Anthracene; Benzo[a]Anthracene; Benzo[a]Pyrene; Benzo[b]Fluoranthene; Benzo[ghi]Perylene; Benzo[k]Fluoranthene; Chrysene; Dibenz[ah]Anthracene; Fluoranthene; Fluorene; Indeno[123cd]Pyrene; Naphthalene; Phenanthrene; Pyrene	Dichloromethane extraction / GC-FID
2920	Phenols in Soils by HPLC	Phenolic compounds including Resorcinol, Phenol, Methylphenols, Dimethylphenols, 1- Naphthol and TrimethylphenolsNote: chlorophenols are excluded.	60:40 methanol/water mixture extraction, followed by HPLC determination using electrochemical detection.



Key

- U UKAS accredited
- M MCERTS and UKAS accredited
- N Unaccredited
- S This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is accredited for this analysis
- SN This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is not accredited for this analysis
- T This analysis has been subcontracted to an unaccredited laboratory
- I/S Insufficient Sample
- U/S Unsuitable Sample
- N/E not evaluated
- < "less than"
- > "greater than"

Comments or interpretations are beyond the scope of UKAS accreditation The results relate only to the items tested Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request None of the results in this report have been recovery corrected All results are expressed on a dry weight basis The following tests were analysed on samples as received and the results subsequently corrected to a dry weight basis TPH, BTEX, VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, Phenols For all other tests the samples were dried at < 37°C prior to analysis All Asbestos testing is performed at the indicated laboratory Issue numbers are sequential starting with 1 all subsequent reports are incremented by 1

Sample Deviation Codes

- A Date of sampling not supplied
- B Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to extraction)
- C Sample not received in appropriate containers
- D Broken Container
- E Insufficient Sample (Applies to LOI in Trommel Fines Only)

Sample Retention and Disposal

- All soil samples will be retained for a period of 45 days from the date of receipt All water samples will be retained for 14 days from the date of receipt Charges may apply to extended sample storage
- If you require extended retention of samples, please email your requirements to: <u>customerservices@chemtest.co.uk</u>





Chemtest Ltd. Depot Road Newmarket CB8 0AL Tel: 01638 606070 Email: Info@chemtest.co.uk

Report No.:	18-00840-1		
Initial Date of Issue:	22-Jan-2018		
Client	KF Geotechnical		
Client Address:	70a Lysons Road Aldershot Hampshire GU11 EED		
Contact(s):	Ann Richardson		
Project	Green End, 17 Dent Road, Northwood		
Quotation No.:		Date Received:	12-Jan-2018
Order No.:		Date Instructed:	12-Jan-2018
No. of Samples:	1		
Turnaround (Wkdays):	7	Results Due:	22-Jan-2018
Date Approved:	22-Jan-2018		
Approved By:			
M.J.			
Details:	Martin Dyer, Laboratory Manager		



Results - 2 Stage WAC

hemtest Joh No.	18-00840					ſ	V III Pure I	Vaste Accentanc	e Criteria
Chemtest Sample ID:	562780							Limits	
ample Ref.	S5							Stable, Non-	
ample ID:							And a second	reactive	Hazardous
Top Depth(m):	0.30						Inert Waste	hazardous	Waste
Sottom Depth(m):	0.40						Landfill	waste in non-	Landfill
sampling Date:	09-Jan-2018	5 0	2 X					hazardous	
Determinand	SOP	Accred.	Units					Landfill	
fotal Organic Carbon	2625	n	%			1.2	9	2	9
.oss On Ignition	2610	n	%			5.8	R	1	10
fotal BTEX	2760	D	mg/kg			< 0.010	9		
fotal PCBs (7 Congeners)	2815	n	mg/kg			< 0.10	1	I	i i
TPH Total WAC (Mineral Oil)	2670	n	mg/kg			< 10	500	14	3
fotal (Of 17) PAH's	2700	N	mg/kg			< 2.0	100		inter E
Ŧ	2010	n				6.2	31	9<	1
Acid Neutralisation Capacity	2015	Z	mol/kg			0.0040	-	To evaluate	To evaluate
cluate Analysis			2:1	8:1	2:1	Cumulative	Limit values	for compliance	eaching test
	5		I/dm	ma/l	mg/kg	mg/kg 10:1	using B:	S EN 12457 at L	S 10 I/kg
Arsenic	1450	n	< 0.0010	0.0016	< 0.050	< 0.050	0.5	2	25
larium	1450	0	0.0012	0.0025	< 0.50	< 0.50	20	100	300
Cadmium	1450	n	< 0.00010	< 0.00010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0.04	1	5
Shromium	1450	0	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.050	< 0.050	0.5	10	70
Copper	1450	n	< 0.0010	0.0023	< 0.050	< 0.050	2	09	100
Aeroury	1450	D	< 0.00050	< 0.00050	< 0.0010	< 0.0050	0.01	0.2	2
Aolybdenum	1450	n	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.050	< 0.050	0.5	10	30
vickel	1450	n	< 0.0010	0.0011	< 0.050	< 0.050	0.4	10	40
ead	1450	n	< 0.0010	0.0023	< 0.010	0.020	0.5	10	50
Antimony	1450	0	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0.08	0.7	5
Selenium	1450	n	< 0.0010	< 0.0010	< 0.010	< 0.010	0.1	5.0	7
line	1450	n	0.0010	0.0053	< 0.50	< 0.50	4	50	200
Chloride	1220	n	<1.0	< 1.0	< 10	< 10	008	15000	25000
luoride	1220	D	0.092	0.18	<1.0	1.7	10	150	500
Sulphate	1220	n	< 1.0	1.8	< 10	16	1000	20000	50000
Total Dissolved Solids	1020	Z	7.7	8.5	15	83	4000	60000	100000
^{phenol} Index	1920	0	< 0.030	< 0.030	< 0.30	< 0.50	1		1990
Dissolved Organic Carbon	1610	U	2.7	< 2.5	< 50	< 50	500	800	1000
talid Information			6 D.	annate Tact	nformation				

Waste Acceptance Criteria

Landfill WAC analysis (specifically leaching test results) must not be used for hazardous waste classification purposes. This analysis is only applicable for hazardous waste landfill acceptance and does not give any indication as to whether a waste may be hazardous or non-hazardous.

0.283 1.400 0.220

> Leachant volume 2nd extract/l Eluant recovered from 1st extract/l

eachant volume 1st extract/

0.175

Dry mass of test portion/kg

Aoisture (%)



Test Methods

SOP	Title	Parameters Included	Method summary
1020	Electrical Conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) in Waters	Electrical Conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) In Waters	Conductivity Meter
1220	Anions, Alkalinity & Ammonium In Waters	Fluoride; Chloride; Nitrite; Nitrate; Total; Oxidisable Nitrogen (TON); Suifate; Phosphate; Alkalinity; Ammonium	Automated colorimetric analysis using 'Aquakem 60D' Discrete Analyser.
1450	Metals in Waters by ICP-MS	Metais, including: Antimony; Arsenic; Barlum; Beryilium; Boron; Cadmium; Chromium; Cobait; Copper; Lead; Manganese; Mercury; Molybdenum; Nickei; Selenium; Tin; Vanadium; Zinc	Filtration of samples followed by direct determination by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).
1610	Total/Dissolved Organic Carbon In Waters	Organic Carbon	TOC Analyser using Catalytic Oxidation
1920	Phenois in Waters by HPLC	Phenolic compounds including: Phenol, Cresols, Xylenols, Trimethylphenols Note: Chlorophenols are excluded.	Determination by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) using electrochemical detection.
2010	pH Value of Solis	рн	pH Meter
2015	Acid Neutralisation Capacity	Add Reserve	Titration
2030	Moisture and Stone Content of Solis(Requirement of MCERTS)	Moisture content	Determination of moisture content of soil as a percentage of its as received mass obtained at <37°C.
2610	Loss on Ignition	loss on ignition (LOI)	Determination of the proportion by mass that is lost from a soil by ignition at 550°C.
2625	Total Organic Carbon in Solis	Total organic Carbon (TOC)	Determined by high temperature combustion under oxygen, using an Eitra elemental analyser.
2670	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) In Solis by GC-FID	TPH (C6–C40); optional carbon banding, e.g. 3- band – GRO, DRO & LRO°TPH C8–C40	Dichloromethane extraction / GC-FID
2700	Speciated Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) In Soll by GC-FID	Acenaphthene; Acenaphthylene; Anthracene; Benzo[a]Anthracene; Benzo[a]Pyrene; Benzo[b]Fluoranthene; Benzo[ghl]Perylene; Benzo[k]Fluoranthene; Chrysene; Dibenz[ah]Anthracene; Fluoranthene; Fluorene; Indeno[123cd]Pyrene; Naphthalene; Phenanthrene; Pyrene	Dichloromethane extraction / GC-FID
2760	Volatlie Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Solis by Headspace GC-MS	Volatile organic compounds, Including BTEX and halogenated Aliphatic/Aromatics.(cf. USEPA Method 8260)*please refer to UKAS schedule	Automated headspace gas chromatographic (GC) analysis of a soil sample, as received, with mass spectrometric (MS) detection of volatile organic compounds.
2815	Polychiorinated Biphenyls (PCB) ICES7Congeners In Solls by GC-MS	ICES7 PCB congeners	Acetone/Hexane extraction / GC-MS



Key

- U UKAS accredited
- M MCERTS and UKAS accredited
- N Unaccredited
- S This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is accredited for this analysis
- SN This analysis has been subcontracted to a UKAS accredited laboratory that is not accredited for this analysis
- T This analysis has been subcontracted to an unaccredited laboratory
- I/S Insufficient Sample
- U/S Unsuitable Sample
- N/E not evaluated
- < "less than"
- > "greater than"
 - Comments or interpretations are beyond the scope of UKAS accreditation
 - The results relate only to the items tested
 - Uncertainty of measurement for the determinands tested are available upon request
 - None of the results in this report have been recovery corrected
 - All results are expressed on a dry weight basis
 - The following tests were analysed on samples as received and the results subsequently corrected to a dry
 - weight basis TPH, BTEX, VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, Phenols
 - For all other tests the samples were dried at < 37°C prior to analysis
 - All Asbestos testing is performed at the indicated laboratory
 - Issue numbers are sequential starting with 1 all subsequent reports are incremented by 1

Sample Deviation Codes

- A Date of sampling not supplied
- B Sample age exceeds stability time (sampling to extraction)
- C Sample not received in appropriate containers
- D Broken Container
- E Insufficient Sample (Applies to LOI in Trommel Fines Only)

Sample Retention and Disposal

- All soil samples will be retained for a period of 45 days from the date of receipt All water samples will be retained for 14 days from the date of receipt
- Charges may apply to extended sample storage
- If you require extended retention of samples, please email your requirements to: <u>customerservices@chemtest.co.uk</u>