

**GHA Trees**  
**5 South Drive**  
**High Wycombe**  
**Bucks**  
**HP13 6JU**



**Glen Harding MSc (Forestry), MA ArborA**  
**t: 07884 056025**  
**e: [info@ghatrees.co.uk](mailto:info@ghatrees.co.uk)**  
**[www.ghatrees.co.uk](http://www.ghatrees.co.uk)**

## **Arboricultural and Planning Integration Report: Kashiba House, Northgate, Northwood, HA6 2TH**

30<sup>th</sup> March 2021

Ref: GHA/DS/133460:21

## CONTENTS

Section	Subject	Page
	Instructions	3
	Executive Summary	3
	Documents Supplied	4
	Scope of Survey	4
	Survey Method	5
	The Site	6
	Subject Trees	6
	The Proposal	6
	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	7
	Post Development Pressure	8
	Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development Works	8
	Conclusion	10
	Recommendations	10
Appendix A	Site Plan / Arboricultural Impact Plan (Attached as a separate PDF file to maintain its integrity / accuracy)	
Appendix B	Tree Table	
Appendix C	Extract from BS5837:2012 – Protective Fencing	

# Arboricultural Report

Location: Kashiba House, Northgate, Northwood, HA6 2TH  
Ref: GHA/DS/133460:21  
Client: H Patel  
Date: 30<sup>th</sup> March 2021  
Prepared by: Glen Harding MICFor, MSc (Forestry), MArborA  
Date of Inspection: 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2021

## **Instructions**

**Issued by – H Patel**

**TERMS OF REFERENCE – GHA Trees were instructed to survey the subject trees within and adjacent to Kashiba House, Northgate, Northwood, in order to assess their general condition and to provide a planning integration statement for the indicative proposed development that safeguards the long term well being of the retained trees in a sustainable manner.**

The writer retains the copyright of this report and its content is for the sole use of the client(s) named above. Copying of this document may only be undertaken in connection with the above instruction. Reproduction of the whole, or any part of the document without written consent from GHA Trees is forbidden. Tree work contractors, for the purpose of tendering only, may reproduce the Schedule for tree works included in the appendices.

## **Executive Summary**

The proposal for the site is to construct a new extension to the side and rear (north and west) of the existing house. The site access and driveway will remain unaltered. The proposed scheme does not require the removal of any of the trees on site, or of trees within nearby adjacent sites; therefore, the landscape character of the site will be unaffected by the proposal. The retained trees require protection in accordance with industry best practice and BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations, in order to ensure their longevity.

## **Documents Supplied**

The client supplied the following documents:

1. Existing layout plans
2. Proposed layout plans

## **Scope of Survey**

- 1.1 The survey is concerned with the arboricultural aspects of the site only.
- 1.2 The planning status of the subject property was not investigated in detail.
- 1.3 A qualified Arboriculturist undertook the report and site visit and the contents of this report are based on this. Whilst reference may be made to built structure or soils, these are only opinions and confirmation should be obtained from a qualified expert as required.
- 1.4 Trees in third party ownership were surveyed from within the subject property, therefore a detailed assessment was not possible and some (if not all) measurements were estimated. Where the stem location of a third party tree has been estimated, this is noted on the plan.
- 1.5 Dense vegetation or climbers (such as ivy) also prohibited full inspections for some trees; this is noted where applicable.
- 1.6 No discussions took place between the surveyor and any other party.
- 1.7 The trees were inspected on the basis of the Visual Tree Assessment method expounded by Mattheck and Breleor (The body language of tree, DoE booklet Research for Amenity Trees No. 4, 1994)
- 1.8 The survey was undertaken in accord with British Standard 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations.
- 1.9 Tree works will be required to be in accord with British Standard 3998 – 2010 (Tree Work - Recommendations).
- 1.10 Underground services near to trees will need to be installed in accord with the guidance given in BS5837 together with the National Joint Utilities Group Booklet 4: 2007 Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility services in proximity to trees (NJUG4).
- 1.11 The client's attention is drawn to the responsibilities under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981).

## **Survey Method**

- 2.1 The survey was conducted from ground level with the aid of binoculars if needed.
- 2.2 No tissue samples were taken nor was any internal investigation of the subject trees undertaken.
- 2.3 No soil samples were taken.
- 2.4 The height of each subject tree was estimated using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre.
- 2.5 The stem diameter for each tree was measured in line with the requirements set out in BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations.
- 2.6 The crown spreads were measured with an electronic distometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where the crown radius was notably different in any direction this has been noted on the Plan (appendix A) and within the tree table (Appendix B). The crowns of those trees that are proposed for removal, or trees where the crown spread is deemed insignificant in relation to the proposed development are not always shown on the appended plan; however their stem locations are marked for reference.
- 2.7 The Root Protection Area (RPA) for each tree is included in the tree table, both as an area, and as the radius of a circle.
- 2.8 The crown clearance was measured using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where it is significantly lower in one direction, this is noted within the tree table at appendix B.
- 2.9 All of the trees that were inspected during the site visit are detailed on the plan at Appendix A; this plan was produced in colour and **MUST** only be scanned or reproduced in colour. The trees on this plan are categorised and shown in the following format:

### COLOUR CODING AND RATING OF TREES:

Category A – Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = light green crown outline on plan.

Category B – Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. Colour = mid blue crown outline on plan.

Category C – Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 to 20 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. Colour = uncoloured crown outline on plan.

Category U – Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Colour = red crown outline on plan.

All references to tree rating are made in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations’, Table 1.

### **The Site**

- 3.1 The site is located on Northgate, a residential through road located to the south of Northwood.
- 3.2 A good tree cover is present on the site itself as well as adjacent sites, with many semi-mature and mature trees of both native and exotic origin characterising the local area.
- 3.3 Access to the property is currently gained via a driveway to the front (east) of the site.

### **The Subject Trees**

- 4.1 The details of the subject trees are set out in the Schedule at Appendix B.
- 4.2 The overall quality of the trees is good.
- 4.3 Of the eleven individual trees, and groups of trees surveyed, five have been assessed as BS 5837 category A, four have been assessed as BS category B, with the remaining trees being assessed as BS 5837 category C.

Category A	5 trees
Category B	4 trees
Category C	2 trees / groups

### **The Proposal**

- 5.1 The proposal for the site is to construct a new extension to the side and rear (north and west) of the existing house.
- 5.2 The site access and driveway will remain unaltered.
- 5.3 The proposed location of the above structures can be seen on the appended plan.

## **Arboricultural Impact Assessment**

### PROPOSED TREE REMOVAL / RETENTION:

- 6.1 The proposed site layout and all of its associated structures allows for the healthy retention of all of the trees on the site itself, and within nearby adjacent sites; therefore, the arboricultural landscape character of the site will be retained.

### TREE PRUNING TO ACCOMMODATE THE PROPOSAL OR ACCESS TO THE SITE

- 6.2 G4 and T5 will be trimmed laterally to improve clearances from the proposed new structure. A full specification for the proposed pruning to each tree can be seen in the tree table at appendix B. The proposed tree work is assessed to be minor and to trees of limited value.
- 6.3 The implementation of the proposal does not lead to the requirement to prune any of the other retained trees, or shrubs.

### ASSESSMENT OF RETAINED TREES ROOT PROTECTION AREAS

- 6.4 Section 4.6.3 of BS 5837: 2012 states that the Root Protection Area (RPA) of each tree should be assessed by an arboriculturalist considering the likely morphology and disposition of the roots, when known to be influenced by past or existing site conditions.
- 6.5 The RPA of T3 has been amended to take account of the existing house next door; these adjustments can be seen on the appended plan.
- 6.6 The other RPAs have been drawn as notional circles, as there are no structures within their RPAs that have been assessed to significantly impact the root layout.

### ASSESSED IMPACT ON RPAS BY PROPOSED STRUCTURES

- 6.7 The proposed new extensions are situated outside of the assessed RPA's of all of the trees proposed for retention, therefore these trees pose no below ground constraints on these new structures or vice versa.

### PROPOSED ACCESS TO THE NEW DEVELOPMENT

- 6.8 The existing driveway and parking areas will be retained and there are no plans to upgrade or extend these areas as part of the proposed site works.

### INSTALLATION OF SERVICES

- 6.9 The installation of underground apparatus and drainage systems with the use of mechanical excavators will undoubtedly sever any roots that may be present and can change the hydrology and structure of the nearby soil in a way that will adversely affect the health of any nearby trees. Particular care should therefore be taken when assessing the layout of new services and consideration **MUST** be given to the methods of installation of **ALL** underground apparatus.

- 6.10 From an assessment of the subject site, undertaken in conjunction with the project architect, the existing drainage system has been assessed as suitable for re-use and it is assumed that the electric and gas cabling is also satisfactory. Therefore, there is no reason to assume that any new service installations will be required within the RPAs of any trees.

### **Post Development Pressure**

#### FUTURE TREE AND STRUCTURE RELATIONSHIPS

- 7.1 The retained trees are at a satisfactory distance from the proposed new extension, and highly unlikely to give rise to any inconvenience.
- 7.2 Some minor lateral trimming of G4 and T5 may be required in the medium term, however any such work would not have a significant impact on the health or amenity value of these trees.
- 7.3 Regular inspections of the retained trees by a suitably qualified Arboriculturalist and subsequent remedial works will ensure that the trees are maintained in a suitable manner, to exist in harmony with the new structures and its occupants for many years to come.

### **Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development Works**

**This is a preliminary statement outlining tree protection measures that will be necessary to implement the scheme without adverse harm to trees to be retained. A full site-specific method statement will be required once the scheme is finalised and approved; this will be devised by GHA Trees, in conjunction with the appointed contractor and project engineer.**

#### 8.1 TREE PRUNING / REMOVAL

A list of all tree works that are required (including trees to be removed) is included in the tree table at Appendix B. Where any tree work is needed, this work **MUST** be in accordance with British Standard 3998 – 2010 (Tree Work - Recommendations).

#### 8.2 TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS

It is essential for the future health of the trees to be retained on site, that all development activity is undertaken outside the root protection zone of these trees. The position of the fence **MUST** be marked out with biodegradable marker paint on site and agreed with appropriate representatives from the LPA and contractor. The fencing **MUST** be erected **prior** to any works in the vicinity of the trees and removed only when all development activity is complete. The protective fencing **MUST** be as that shown in BS 5837 (see Appendix C). The herras panels **MUST** be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers which **MUST** be installed so they can only be removed from the inside of the fence. The



panels **MUST** supported by stabilizer struts, which **MUST** be installed on the inside and secured to the ground using pins or appropriate weights.

The Fence must be marked with a clear sign reading:

**“Construction Exclusion Zone – No Access”**

8.3 GROUND PROTECTION – LIGHTWEIGHT ACCESS ONLY

Where any additional ground protection is required, these areas **MUST** be covered with a permeable membrane, with 150mm layer of compressible woodchip overlaying it; an 18mm marine ply boards will then be secured on top of the woodchip to allow a 1.5tonne mini-digger to access the area without causing major compaction or soil erosion.

8.4 GROUND PROTECTION (EXISTING)

The hard surfacing that exists on the drive provides adequate ground protection and **MUST** therefore be retained in situ for the entirety of the site works.

8.5 SITE HUTS, WELFARE FACILITIES AND STORAGE OF EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS

All site huts **MUST** be positioned outside of the retained trees RPA's.

8.6 MIXING OF CONCRETE

All mixing of cement / concrete **MUST** be undertaken outside of the RPA of all of the retained trees.

8.7 INCOMING SERVICES, DRAINAGE AND SOAKAWAYS

From an assessment of the subject site, undertaken in conjunction with the project architect, the existing drainage system has been assessed as suitable for re-use, and it is assumed that the electric and gas cabling is also satisfactory.

8.8 ON SITE SUPERVISION

Regular site supervision is essential to ensure all potentially damaging activities near to trees are correctly supervised. A pre start meeting will occur to ensure all parties are aware of their responsibilities relating to tree protection on site; this will include a site induction for key personnel.

8.9 OTHER TREE PROTECTION PRECAUTIONS

- **NO** level alterations will occur within the RPA of any tree to be retained.
- **NO** fires lit on site within 20 metres of any tree to be retained.
- **NO** fuels, oils or substances which will be damaging to the tree shall be spilled or poured on site.
- **NO** storage of any materials within the root protection zone.

8.10 HARD / SOFT LANDSCAPING NEAR RETAINED TREES

All new pathways and hard landscaping areas within the Root Protection Areas (RPA's) of the retained trees should be designed using no-dig, up and over construction techniques, and be specified in close co-ordination with the retained Arboriculturalist. Porous materials should also be used when surfacing near the trees. No machinery will be used for this work, which must all be done by hand.

#### 8.11 DISMANTLING PROTECTIVE BARRIERS

Protective barriers must only be completely removed when all machinery, and equipment has left site.

### **Conclusion**

- 9.1 In conclusion, the principal arboricultural features within the site can be retained and adequately protected during development activities.
- 9.2 In conclusion, no significant or important trees will be lost to facilitate the proposed scheme.
- 9.3 Subject to precautionary measures as detailed above, the proposal will not be injurious to trees to be retained.

### **Recommendations**

- 10.1 Site supervision – An individual e.g. the Site Agent, must be nominated to be responsible for all arboricultural matters on site. This person must:
  - a. Be present on the site the majority of the time.
  - b. Be aware of the arboricultural responsibilities.
  - c. Have the authority to stop any work that is, or has the potential to cause harm to any tree.
  - d. Be responsible for ensuring that all site personnel are aware of their responsibilities towards trees on site and the consequences of the failure to observe those responsibilities.
  - e. Make immediate contact with the local authority and / or retained arboriculturalist in the event of any related tree problems occurring whether actual or potential.
- 10.2 It is recommended, that to ensure a commitment from all parties to the healthy retention of the trees, that details are passed by the architect or agent to any contractors working on site, so that the practical aspects of the above precautions are included in their method statements, and financial provision made for these.

30<sup>th</sup> March 2021

Signed:



Glen Harding MICFor, MSc (Forestry), MARborA  
For and on behalf of GHA Trees

## **Appendix A**

## **Appendix B**

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T1	Oak	23	570	1	6.84	7	3	6	3	M	12 plus epicormic	40+	A1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.
T2	Oak	23	680	1	8.16	3	10	7	7	M	9 west	40+	A1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.
T3	Oak	23	800	1	9.60	10	7	4	10	M	7 west	40+	A1	Off site - full inspection not possible. Some measurements estimated.
G4	Leyland cypress	8	100	1	1.20	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	MA	2.5	10-20	C2	Hedge. Off site. Recommend: trim laterally by 1m on site side.
T5	Lawson cypress	11	200	1	2.40	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	MA	2.5	10-20	C1	Off site - full inspection not possible. Some measurements estimated. Recommend: trim laterally by 1m on site side.
T6	Oak	23	800	1	9.60	7	7	7	12	M	8	40+	A1	Off site - full inspection not possible. Some measurements estimated.
T7	Hornbeam	20	563	4	6.75	7	7	4	8	M	5 east	20-40	B1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.
T8	Hornbeam	14	260	1	3.12	3	3	4	5	M	6	20-40	B1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T9	Hornbeam	16	260	1	3.12	3	3	3	4	M	6	20-40	B1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.
T10	Oak	23	700	1	8.40	5	8	10	5	M	12	40+	A1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.
G11	Hornbeam	23	300	1	3.60	5	5	5	5	M	5	20-40	B1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.

**KEY :**

Tree No: (T= individual tree, G= group of trees, W= woodland)  
Age class: Young (Y), Middle aged (MA), Mature (M), Over mature (OM),  
Veteran (V)  
Height (Ht): Measured in metres +/- 1m

## **Appendix C**

Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems





