HILLINGDON
LONDON

Mr Ameet Bhamra
59 Mirador Crescent
Slough
sl2 5jz

Application Ref: 68096/APP/2013/2876

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 (AS AMENDED)

REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

The Council of the London Borough of Hillingdon as the Local Planning Authority within the meaning of the above Act and associated Orders **REFUSES** permission for the following:-

Description of development:

Part two storey, part single storey rear extension.

Location of development: 52 Paddington Close, Hayes

Date of application: 09 October 2013

Plan Numbers: See attached Schedule of plans

Permission is refused for the reason(s) listed on the attached schedule:-

James Rodger

Head of Planning & Enforcement

Date: 2 December 2013

NOTES: (i) Please also see the informatives included in the Schedule of Reasons.

(ii) Should you wish to appeal against this decision please read the attached sheet which explains the procedure.

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 (AS AMENDED)

REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

Application Ref: 68096/APP/2013/2876

SCHEDULE OF REASONS

1 · The proposal, by reason of its size, scale and bulk, would fail to to be subordinate to or harmonise with the architectural composition of the original building and would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the surrounding area. As such, the proposal is contrary to Policies BE13, BE15 and BE19 of the Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two -Saved UDP Policies (November 2012) and the adopted Supplementary Planning Document HDAS: Residential Extensions.

2 · Due to its depth and separation distance beyond the rear boundary, the proposed extension would be likely to give rise to additional overlooking from the first floor bedroom windows and result in a loss of privacy to the adjoining occupiers in Fellowes Close. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy BE24 of the Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two -Saved UDP Policies (November 2012) and the adopted Supplementary Planning Document HDAS: Residential Extensions.

3 · The proposed extension would result in an inadequate provision of outdoor amenity space being available to the detriment of current and future occupiers of the dwelling. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy BE23 of the Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two - Saved UDP Policies (November 2012) and the adopted Supplementary Planning Document HDAS: Residential Extensions.

INFORMATIVES:

1 · On this decision notice policies from the Councils Local Plan: Part 1 - Strategic Policies appear first, then relevant saved policies (referred to as policies from the Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan - Saved Policies September 2007), then London Plan Policies. On the 8th November 2012 Hillingdon's Full Council agreed the adoption of the Councils Local Plan: Part 1 - Strategic Policies. Appendix 5 of this explains which saved policies from the old Unitary Development (which was subject to a direction from Secretary of State in September 2007 agreeing that the policies were 'saved') still apply for development control decisions.

SCHEDULE OF REASONS

Standard Informatives

1 The decision to REFUSE planning permission has been taken having regard to all relevant planning legislation, regulations, guidance, circulars and Council policies, including The Human Rights Act (1998) (HRA 1998) which makes it unlawful for the Council to act incompatibly with Convention rights, specifically Article 6 (right to a fair hearing); Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life); Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property) and Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination).

2 The decision to REFUSE planning permission has been taken having regard to the policies and proposals in the Hillingdon Unitary Development Plan Saved Policies (September 2007) set out below, and to all relevant material considerations, including Supplementary Planning Guidance:

Part 1 Policies:

PT1.BE1	(2012) Built Environment

Part 2 Policies:

BE13	New development must harmonise with the existing street scene.
BE15	Alterations and extensions to existing buildings
BE19	New development must improve or complement the character of the area.
BE20	Daylight and sunlight considerations.
BE21	Siting, bulk and proximity of new buildings/extensions.
BE22	Residential extensions/buildings of two or more storeys.
BE23	Requires the provision of adequate amenity space.
BE24	Requires new development to ensure adequate levels of privacy to neighbours.
BE38	Retention of topographical and landscape features and provision of new planting and landscaping in development proposals.
HDAS-EXT	Residential Extensions, Hillingdon Design & Access Statement, Supplementary Planning Document, adopted December 2008
AM14	New development and car parking standards.
CACPS	Council's Adopted Car Parking Standards (Annex 1, HUDP, Saved Policies, September 2007)
LPP 3.5	(2011) Quality and design of housing developments

END OF SCHEDULE

Address:
Residents Services
London Borough of Hillingdon
3 North Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW
Tel: 01895 250400 / 250401
www.hillingdon.gov.uk

REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

Application Ref.No.: 68096/APP/2013/2876

SCHEDULE OF PLANS

PL/ASB/1 - received 08 Oct 2013

Design and Access Statement - received 01 Oct 2013

RIGHTS OF APPLICANTS AGGRIEVED BY DECISION OF LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 (AS AMENDED)

Appeals to the Secretary of State

- If you are aggrieved by the decision of your local planning authority to refuse permission for the proposed development or to grant it subject to conditions, then you can appeal to the office of the First Secretary of State under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

- As this is a decision to refuse planning permission for a householder application, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision then you must do so within **12 weeks** of the date of this notice.

- Appeals must be made using a form which you can get from the Planning Inspectorate at Customer Support Unit, Room 3/15 Eagle Wing, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN or online at www.planningportal.gov.uk/pcs.

- The Secretary of State can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal, but he will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are special circumstances, which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal.

- The Secretary of State need not consider an appeal if it seems to him that the local planning authority could not have granted planning permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions they imposed, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of any development order and to any directions given under a development order.

- In practice, the Secretary of State does not refuse to consider appeals solely because the local planning authority based their decision on a direction given by him.

Purchase Notices.

- If either the local planning authority or the office of the First Secretary of State refuses permission to develop land or grants it subject to conditions, the owner may claim that he can neither put the land to a reasonably beneficial use in its existing state nor render the land capable of a reasonably beneficial use by carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted.

- In these circumstances, the owner may serve a purchase notice on the Council (District Council, London Borough Council or Common Council of the City of London) in whose area the land is situated. This notice will require the Council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part VI of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.