

Site: Ruislip High School														Date: 09.06.17	
						Canopy Spread						General Observations			
Tree Ref. No.	Species	Height (m)	DBH (mm)	RPR (m)	RPA (m)	N	E	S	W	Ht of 1st sig branch / canopy	Life Stage	Physiological	Structural	Estimated remaining contribution (BS 5837)	BS Cat
G1	Service Tree	6	120	1.44	7	2	2	2	2	2.5	SM	F	Linear group of trees of typical form and condition planted in narrow strip along front boundary.	40+	B2
T1	Fastigate Hornbeam	6	100	1.2	5	1	1	1	1	2.5	Y	F	Tree of typical form and condition located in planting pit in hard standing to the front of the main entrance	40+	C1
G2	Norway Maple	9	180	2.16	15	As on plan				2/2	SM	F	Linear group of trees planted in grass strip adjacent to the drive	40+	B2
G3	Hornbeam	7	100	1.2	5	As on plan				2/2	SM	F	Horse Shoe' of trees of typical form and condition located in ornamental garden to the west of the drive	40+	C1
G4	Poplar	22	550	6.6	137	As on plan				0/0	M	F	Linear group demarking property boundary. Ivy on stems, topped in the past. Local decay pockets and die back. No indication of significant defect.	40+	B1
T2	Service Tree	7	160	1.92	12	2	2	2	2	1/1	SM	F	Tree of typical form and condition planted in narrow strip within car park	40+	C2
T3	Cherry	7	170	2.04	13	2	2	2	2	2.5/2.5	SM	F	Tree of typical form and condition planted in narrow strip within car park	40+	C2
T4	Service Tree	5	100	1.2	5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.5/2.5	Y	F	Tree of typical form and condition planted in narrow strip within car park	40+	C2
T5	Service Tree	5	100	1.2	5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.5/2.5	Y	F	Small diameter snapped, hanging branch in crown otherwise tree of typical form and condition planted in narrow strip within carpark	40+	C2
G5	Service Tree	7	120	1.44	7	As on plan				2.5/2.5	SM	F	Trees of typical form and condition planted in narrow strip within car park	40+	C2
T6	Service Tree	5	100	1.2	5	1	1	1	1	2.5/2.5	Y	P	Tree of poor form and condition, stem damage and sucker growth indicating decline	10+	C1,2
T7	Birch	5	100	1.2	5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1/2	SM	F	Tree of typical formal and condition planted in ornamental garden to the rear of the main building	40+	C2

Site: Ruislip High School														Date: 09.06.17	
						Canopy Spread						General Observations			
Tree Ref. No.	Species	Height (m)	DBH (mm)	RPR (m)	RPA (m)	N	E	S	W	Ht of 1st sig branch / canopy	Life Stage	Physiological	Structural	Estimated remaining contribution (BS 5837)	BS Cat
G6	Ash	6	100	1.2	5	1	1	1	1	2/2	Y	F	Linear group of trees planted in sports field as screen to hard courts	40+	C2
T8	Norway Maple	7	120	1.44	7	2	2	2	2	2/2	SM	F	Stake and tie need to be removed, otherwise tree of typical form and condition	40+	C2
T9	Norway Maple	7	80	0.96	3	1	1	1	1	3/3	Y	P	Tree of poor form and condition not expected to recover	10+	C1,2
T10	Cherry	5	80	0.96	3	1	1	1	1	2/2	Y	F	One of a collection of four tree of typical form and condition plated in grass recreation area	40+	C2
T11	Purple Ash	7	90	1.08	4	1	1	1	1	2/3	Y	F	One of a collection of four tree of typical form and condition plated in grass recreation area	40+	C2
T12	London Plane	11	400	4.8	72	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	3/1	EM	F	Significant tree in the street scene, typical from and condition, close to front boundary and screening hard courts	40+	A
G7	Crataegus	5	80	0.96	3	1	1	1	1	2/2	Y	P/F	Linear group of trees planted to soften the boundary, untidy forms and stunted	40+	C1,2