



34a Drayton Gardens, West Drayton, UB7

Daylight and Sunlight Assessment

Job No: 7350

Issued: October, 2026

Issue No.: 2

Contents

- 1.0 Introduction..... 3
- 2.0 Project Summary..... 4
- 3.0 Methodology..... 5
- 4.0 Modelling & Data Sources 6
- 5.0 BRE Guidance Targets 7
- 6.0 Window Schedules..... 8
- 7.0 Daylight Impact Results 9
- 8.0 Sunlight Impact Results.....10
- 9.0 Sunlight To Neighbouring Gardens.....12
- 10.0 Daylight within the Proposal.....13
- 11.0 Conclusions.....16

Document Prepared By:

Document Authorised By:

Sam Westover

Bernice Waterman

Dated:

Dated:

15.10.26

15.10.26

Signed:

Signed:




This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and may not be reproduced without prior written permission from T16 Design.

All work has been carried out within the terms of the brief using all reasonable skill, care, and diligence. No liability is accepted by T16 Design for the accuracy of data or opinions provided by others in the preparation of this report, or for any use of this report other than for the purpose for which it was produced.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This daylight and sunlight assessment has been prepared in relation to a planning application for the proposed development of the site at 34a Drayton Gardens, West Drayton, UB7.
- 1.2 The report assesses the development in respect of daylight, sunlight and overshadowing matters, having regard to industry standard guidance.
- 1.3 The report concludes that the proposal meets the BRE guidance in relation to the impacts on neighbouring daylight and sunlight amenity.
- 1.4 There is no existing specific National Planning Policy relating to the prospective impacts of developments on daylight and sunlight on their surrounding environment.
- 1.5 However, the NPPF, 2026 does require development proposals to preserve neighbouring amenity and provide healthy living conditions in terms of natural light. It also provides a presumption in favour of sustainable development within settlements, unless there are substantial adverse effects (NPPF Policy S4).
- 1.6 The BRE Report 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight: A Guide to Good Practice' (3rd Edition, 2022) is the established National guidance to aid the developer to prevent and/or minimise the impact of a new development on the availability of daylight and sunlight in the environs of the site and the assessment of light within proposed new dwellings.
- 1.7 It refers in turn to the daylight and sunlight recommendations in BS EN 17037: 2018+A1:2021 (with UK Annex): 'Daylight in Buildings'
- 1.8 These reference documents are accepted as the authoritative works in the field on daylight, sunlight and overshadowing and the BRE guidance specifically referred to in many Local Authorities' planning policy guidance for daylighting.
- 1.9 The methodology therein has been used in numerous lighting analyses and the standards of permissible reduction in light are accepted as the industry standards.

2.0 Project Summary

- 2.1 The site is located to the rear of 34a Drayton Gardens, West Drayton, UB7 and is currently unoccupied.
- 2.2 The proposal is for the construction of a single-storey detached dwelling.
- 2.3 The impacts of the scheme have been assessed, in line with BRE guidance. Generally, it is the impacts on residential neighbours which are of primary concern.
- 2.4 Further details on the location of the assessed neighbours and their windows are given in Section 6.0
- 2.5 In addition to assessing the impacts of the scheme on neighbours, daylight within the proposed new dwellings has also been assessed.




Site as Existing

3.0 Methodology

- 3.1 For this analysis, we have undertaken the following calculations for the change in daylight and sunlight to existing buildings, as recommended in BRE Digest 209:
- Vertical Sky Component (VSC) for daylight impacts
 - Annual Probable Sunlight Hours and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours (WPSH) (APSH) for sunlight impacts
 - Target Daylight Factor (DF_T) for daylight within the proposal
- 3.2 The VSC method measures the general amount of light available on the outside plane of the window as a ratio (%) of the amount of total unobstructed sky viewable following introduction of visible barriers such as buildings. The maximum value is just under 40% for a completely unobstructed vertical wall.
- 3.3 For non-vertical windows (such as rooflights) a higher VSC is achievable,
- 3.4 The VSC is calculated using computer simulation under a CIE overcast sky. This works by simulating the amount of visible sky from the centre point of each window. It is not affected by orientation and so all potentially affected windows are assessed.
- 3.5 Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH) and Winter Probable Sun light Hours (WPSH) are a measure of the amount of potential direct sunlight that is available to a given surface. APSH covers sunlight over the whole year and WPSH from September 21st to March 21st.
- 3.6 Only windows which face within 90° of due south need be assessed for sunlight. This is looked at in Section 8.
- 3.7 APSH can also be used to assess the impact on external spaces such as gardens. This is looked at in Section 9.

4.0 Modelling & Data Sources

- 4.1 The first stage of the analysis is to create the analysis model of the existing site condition and the proposal. This allows us to analyse the impact of the proposal in terms of loss of daylight and sunlight.
- 4.2 2D drawings have been provided by the design team. These drawings are used to construct a 3D analysis model which is exported into the specialist daylight software. Calculations are then run, for both existing and proposed scenarios.
- 4.3 Sufficient detail is added to the model for the analysis. In accordance with BRE recommendations, trees and foliage have been omitted from the calculations, including the large existing hedge adjacent to the boundary and neighbouring window.
- 4.4 Information on the properties has been provided to us by the design team in the form of drawings giving the site as existing and proposed and photographs of the site and surroundings.
- 4.5 Web-based mapping sources and planning records for neighbouring buildings have also been used where available.




3D Model - As Proposed

5.0 BRE Guidance Targets

- 5.1 The reference document for this analysis, BRE Digest 209, 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight: A Guide to Good Practice' (3rd Edition, 2022) gives the methodology for undertaking the calculations.
- 5.2 It also provides benchmark figures for the acceptable reduction in the daylight on existing properties which might be affected by development.
- 5.3 Specifically, the guidance gives figures for the VSC and APSH, as a percentage reduction that is "permissible" for the effect on existing windows.
- 5.4 It is worth noting the following statement in the Guidance introduction:
 - "The guide is intended for building designers and their clients, consultants and planning officials. The advice given here is not mandatory and this document should not be seen as an instrument of planning policy. Its aim is to help rather than constrain the developer.
 - Although it gives numerical guidelines, these should be interpreted flexibly because natural lighting is only one of the many factors in site layout design."
- 5.5 The relevant BRE recommendations for daylight and sunlight are:
 - The Vertical Sky Component measured at the centre of a window should be no less than 27%, or if reduced to below this, no less than 0.8 times the former value.
 - The window should receive at least 25% of available annual sunlight hours and more than 5% during the winter months (September 21st to March 21st), or, where this is not the case, 80% of its former value.

6.0 Window Schedules



1-4 Swains Close



6 & 7 Thatcher Close



34 & 34a Drayton Gardens

7.0 Daylight Impact Results

- 7.1 The Vertical Sky Component has been calculated for the 26 assessed windows for both the existing and proposed conditions.
- 7.2 As can be seen in the results below, all windows retain in excess of 80% of their current values.
- 7.3 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE recommendations in relation to daylight impacts.

Vertical Sky Component				
Window	Existing VSC	Proposed VSC	% Retained	Meets BRE Guidance?
1	38.44	38.14	99.22%	Yes
2	38.37	37.99	99.01%	Yes
3	38.18	37.79	98.98%	Yes
4	37.12	36.78	99.08%	Yes
5	36.08	34.8	96.45%	Yes
6	35.63	34.06	95.59%	Yes
7	35.04	33.67	96.09%	Yes
8	32.62	31.55	96.72%	Yes
9	39.15	39.15	100.00%	Yes
10	37.05	37.05	100.00%	Yes
11	38.5	38.34	99.58%	Yes
12	35.12	34.97	99.57%	Yes
13	35.52	34.29	96.54%	Yes
14	39.23	39.23	100.00%	Yes
15	37.52	37.52	100.00%	Yes
16	38.7	38.58	99.69%	Yes
17	36.19	36.09	99.72%	Yes
18	28.42	27.78	97.75%	Yes
19	29.32	29.32	100.00%	Yes
20	38.88	38.69	99.51%	Yes
21	38.46	38.34	99.69%	Yes
22	34.83	34.76	99.80%	Yes
23	39.15	39.07	99.80%	Yes
24	34.5	34.5	100.00%	Yes
25	21.2	21.2	100.00%	Yes

8.0 Sunlight Impact Results


- 8.1 BRE guidance states that only windows which face within 90° of due south need be assessed for sunlight provision. In this instance, 19 windows require assessment.
- 8.2 The remaining windows face within 90° of north and do not need to be assessed.
- 8.3 The Annual Probable Sunlight Hours has been calculated for these windows for both the existing and proposed conditions using the methodology described previously, both over the whole year, and through the "winter months" (September 21st until March 21st)
- 8.4 The BRE guidance states that the sun lighting may be adversely affected if the centre of the window:
- Receives less than 25% of annual hours or less than 5% of winter hours and
 - Receives less than 80% of its current sunlight hours during either period and
 - Has a reduction in sunlight over the whole year greater than 4% of annual probable sunlight hours
- 8.5 It is clear from the wording of the above that all three clauses need to be met to qualify as an adverse impact. Thus, if the window does not meet any one of these criteria, the impact is acceptable.
- 8.6 The results below show that the assessed windows retain in excess of 25% of annual sunlight hours and 5% of winter hours.
- 8.7 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE guidance in relation to sunlight impacts.

8.0 Sunlight Impact Results

Window	Annual Sunlight Hours			Winter Sunlight Hours			Meets Guidance?
	Ex. Hrs Received (%)	Prop. Hrs Received	% Retained	Ex. Hrs Received	Prop. Hrs Received	% Retained	
1	62.44	62.44	100.00%	22.52	22.52	100.00%	Yes
2	61.26	61.26	100.00%	21.34	21.34	100.00%	Yes
3	60.50	60.50	100.00%	20.65	20.65	100.00%	Yes
4	55.51	55.51	100.00%	15.66	15.66	100.00%	Yes
5	60.98	59.67	97.84%	21.07	19.75	93.75%	Yes
6	60.43	59.25	98.05%	20.51	19.33	94.26%	Yes
7	58.63	58.42	99.65%	18.78	18.57	98.89%	Yes
8	50.17	50.17	100.00%	11.23	11.09	98.77%	Yes
9	57.66	57.66	100.00%	18.50	18.50	100.00%	Yes
10	50.59	50.59	100.00%	11.43	11.43	100.00%	Yes
11	53.98	53.98	100.00%	15.18	15.18	100.00%	Yes
12	42.07	42.07	100.00%	10.88	10.88	100.00%	Yes
13	56.27	56.27	100.00%	18.85	18.85	100.00%	Yes
14	57.80	57.80	100.00%	18.71	18.71	100.00%	Yes
15	50.03	50.03	100.00%	11.16	11.16	100.00%	Yes
16	55.79	55.79	100.00%	17.19	17.19	100.00%	Yes
17	41.51	41.51	100.00%	10.88	10.88	100.00%	Yes
18	50.38	48.44	96.15%	16.49	16.49	100.00%	Yes
19	37.01	37.01	100.00%	6.38	6.38	100.00%	Yes

9.0 Sunlight To Neighbouring Gardens

- 9.1 Residential gardens are generally assessed using the sunlight hours test, but only on March 21st. The guidance describes a well-lit space as being one which receives at least 2 hours of direct sunlight on this date over 50% of its area.
- 9.2 BRE guidance also uses the "80%" rule for this test, whereby the effects are considered acceptable if the remaining sunlight is in excess of 80% of the existing level. This clause applies if the space is reduced to less than 50% of the area well sunlit.
- 9.3 This assessment has been run for the gardens of the neighbouring properties to the site as identified below.
- 9.4 As can be seen, the neighbouring gardens retain in excess of 80% of their existing values and the scheme is therefore compliant with BRE guidance in relation to impacts on amenity spaces and overshadowing.



Amenity Sunlight Hours				
Garden	Existing Area Receiving 2 Hours	Proposed Area Receiving 2 Hours	% Retained	Meets BRE Guidance?
G1	86%	86%	100.00%	Yes
G2	70%	70%	100.00%	Yes

10.0 Daylight within the Proposal

10.1 The BRE and BS EN 17037 guidance allows for two alternative methods to assess daylight within new dwellings. This report uses the following method:

- Target Daylight Factor (DF_T)

10.2 The DF_T method is a complex and representative calculation to determine natural internal luminance.

10.3 It takes into account such factors as window size, number of windows available to the room, room size and layout, room surface reflectance, and the angle of visible sky reaching the window.

10.4 The calculations are not affected by the orientation of the window(s) serving the room.

10.5 The calculations have assumed a white ceiling, cream walls and mid-grey carpet or wooden floor using reflectance values taken from the BS EN 170437 Guidance.


10.6 The requirement is that a minimum of 50% of the floor area of each room should receive a specific daylight factor, based on the use of the room.

10.7 The targets from the UK Annex of BS17037 for dwellings have been used and are shown below. The targets for London have been used for this site.

Table C3 – Target daylight factors (D_r) to achieve over at least 50% of the assessment grid in UK domestic habitable rooms with vertical and/or inclined daylight apertures			
Location	D_r for 100 lx (Bedroom)	D_r for 150 lx (Living room)	D_r for 200 lx (Kitchen)
St Peter (Jersey)	0.6%	0.9%	1.2%
London (Gatwick Airport)	0.7%	1.1%	1.4%
Birmingham	0.6%	0.9%	1.2%
Hemsby (Norfolk)	0.6%	0.9%	1.3%
Finningley (Yorkshire)	0.7%	1.0%	1.3%
Aughton (Lancashire)	0.7%	1.1%	1.4%
Belfast	0.7%	1.0%	1.4%
Leuchars (Fife)	0.7%	1.1%	1.4%
Oban	0.8%	1.1%	1.5%
Aberdeen	0.7%	1.1%	1.4%

10.8 As can be seen from the results below that all assessed habitable rooms meet and exceed the minimum levels of internal daylight.

10.0 Daylight within the Proposal



Ground Floor as Proposed



10.0

Daylight within the Proposal

Minimum Target Daylight Factor				
Plot	Room	Required DF _T Over 50% of Room Area	Area Of Room Receiving Required DF _T	Meets Standards?
1	KLD	1.4%	96.7%	Yes
1	Master Bedroom	0.7%	100.0%	Yes
1	Bedroom 2	0.7%	100.0%	Yes

11.0 Conclusions

- 11.1 Using industry standard methodology, we have made numerical analyses to ascertain the effects of the proposed site at 34a Drayton Gardens, West Drayton, UB7 and the levels of change in daylight and sunlight and overshadowing for the windows of the neighbouring properties.
- 11.2 The main criteria used in this analysis to show compliance are the Vertical Sky Component (VSC) for daylight impacts and Annual and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours for sunlight impacts
- 11.3 As has been shown, the effect on VSC is within the 80% BRE guidance value in all cases.
- 11.4 We are therefore able to conclude that there will be no adverse impact on neighbouring residents in terms of daylight as a result of the works.
- 11.5 In terms of sunlight, our analysis shows that the windows which require assessment retain in excess of 25% of annual sunlight hours and 5% of winter hours.
- 11.6 The nearest neighbouring open spaces retain in excess of 80% of their existing value.
- 11.7 The scheme is therefore fully compliant with BRE guidance in relation to sunlight impacts.
- 11.8 The new residential dwellings will benefit from daylight levels in excess of the requirements of BS EN 17037:2018 recommendations.
- 11.9 It is therefore the conclusion of this report that the development meets the guidance values in the BRE report and is therefore entirely acceptable, in daylight, sunlight and overshadowing terms.



T16 Design Ltd.

T: 01206 572452

E: info@t16design.com

W: www.t16design.com

© 2026