John Cromar's Arboricultural Company Limited
The Old School, Titley, Kington, Herefordshire, HR5 3RN
www.treescan.co.uk · admin@treescan.co.uk
01582 80 80 20

London · Oxford · Hereford

S1492-J1-R1

REPORT

regarding the impact on trees of proposals for development at
239 Park Road Uxbridge UB8 1NS

View of front of site from Park Road

John Cromar, Dip. Arb. (RFS), F. Arbor A.

Arboricultural ASSOCIATION
Fellow Member

Company Registration No. 5195523. Registered in England and Wales. Registered Office: The Old School, Titley, HR5 3RN

Contents

1 Instructions

I am instructed by the client Hasil Makkar to make an assessment of tree amenity value and condition of trees at 239 Park Road, Uxbridge, UB8 1NS and of the impact of a proposal for development (extensions to dwelling) on such trees, and to supply an arboricultural methods statement and tree protection plan for use in supporting an application for local planning authority (LPA below) consent. The design summary submitted by Michael Oakes Architects presents the scheme.

2 Executive summary

The impact on public amenity connected to how trees will be affected by the scheme is found to be negligible.

The scheme will require no trees to be removed.

All retained trees will be easily protected from harm during the project.

3 Introduction

3.1 The environmental role of Local Planning Authorities

LPAs play an important part in the almost continual balancing act that is part and parcel of contemporary local government. They regulate development in the interests of the community. Increasingly, the environment plays a role in our lives, and strongly affects our health, both mental and physical. This is typically recognised in planning policy determined by LPAs, and the formal planning guidance published by them. LPAs process planning applications in line with this policy and guidance.

3.2 British Standards

These continue to play a significant role in the quality of our lives in the UK, by defining minimum standards for many products, and making recommendations where precise, exhaustive specifications are not absolutely possible, for example with services.

3.3 British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations'

BS 5837:2012 (the Standard, below) is the fourth version in a series, the first being in 1980. This Standard provides a framework for the valuation, in ornamental terms, of trees, and gives recommendations for their protection on building sites.

3.4 How the Standard is used by an arboriculturist

It is used as a tool by an arboriculturist, who for the purposes of this type of professional activity, is someone who has, through relevant education, training and experience, gained expertise in the field of trees in relation to construction. This is the profession which is concerned, in a wider sense, with the care and cultivation of trees for amenity (all the benefits). An arboriculturist, then, uses the Standard:

a) to assess the value, in terms of amenity, of the trees on and adjoining a particular site, whether such trees are formally protected or not, for example by reason of being in a Conservation Area or because they are scheduled within a Tree Preservation Order. (Both of these provisions are part of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, part VIII.);

b) secondly, to help assess the impact upon the trees of the proposal for development;
c) lastly, to give ways of protecting retained trees during construction, should the proposal receive planning consent.

3.5 How the arboriculturist prepares tree protection methods

In practice, as advances in materials and techniques are rapid, the arboriculturist does not necessarily specify a precise commercial product, but defines the essential components of methods of demolition and construction which often make use of specialized materials. These may be termed 'tree-friendly' methods, meaning that they have as their focus the well-being of the tree. These appear on the tree protection plan(s) appended, typically titled: 'Tree Retention and Tree Protection Measures', and within the text below.

3.6 Classification of trees

The Standard recommends a way of classifying trees when assessing their potential value in relation to proposed development. Value means (mainly) *visual* value to the general public. It also allows for other values to be considered such as historic or conservation value. Some surveys may not find any trees of one or more categories.

Table 1 describes, as: 'U', a low-value tree; denoted by a dark red outline on plans, the shape of the edge of the tree's crown typically more or less concentric to the trunk position.

It also shows 'A', 'B' and 'C', in descending merit:

- 'A' category, green crown outline, are trees of high vitality or good form, or of particular visual importance.
- 'B' category, blue crown outline, are good trees but may be of slightly poorer form or be not sited as importantly as 'A' category trees.
- 'C' category, grey crown outline are trees of no particular merit, but in adequate condition for retention.

A minimum expected safe useful life is also assessed. Please note that a low value tree may have a very long life expectancy. The two factors are only linked in that, for example, a very high value tree cannot also have a very low life expectancy.

3.7 Root protection area

'RPA' below. The RPA is a zone around the trunk of the tree, in which protective measures must be used in order to prevent significant damage to trees.

3.8 Use of appended plans

The appended plans have different applications:

- Plan reference no. S1492-J1-P1, shows the spread of the crowns (the upper, leaf-bearing part of trees), and is intended to indicate the relationship of any neighbouring trees to each other. This plan gives a quick reference assessment of value as per section 4, table 1, page 9 of the Standard.

- S1492-J1-P2 is the 'tree protection plan' (TPP) referred to in the Standard (section 3.11). It is colour-coded to indicate where tree-friendly methods are proposed during the overall construction process, which may involve demolition, main construction and landscaping phases.

4 Observations

4.1 Site visit

I visited the property on 15th November 2024 in order to carry out an inspection. Weather conditions were good; they permitted adequate inspection.

4.2 Survey method

I used a tree mallet, spade, diameter tape, laser rangefinder, pocket retractable tape, binoculars, scaling pole, tree data recording software, pen, pencil and paper. No trees were climbed: inspection was from ground level.

4.3 Appraisal identification

My appraisals of observations, discussions and other data are italicised below, in each relevant section and paragraph. This emphasises the clear separation between data and opinion to assist the end-users: client, architect and LPA case and tree officers.

4.4 Amenity / Screening by trees and shrubs

Trees in the front garden are visible from Park Road.

Certain trees listed are of some significant general public amenity value. Trees at the rear, and hedges are of strictly local amenity value to owners / users of the site, and to those of adjoining properties. (See cover photo / photos below).

4.5 Statutory constraints

The site is in the administrative area of the London Borough of Hillingdon.

The site does not, according to the local authority website, stand within a Conservation Area.

There are Tree Preservation Orders on / adjoining the site (Tree Preservation Order Reference: TPO 807).

4.6 Soil assessment

The British Geological Survey (BGS) information for the area indicates that the underlying sub-soil is sand and gravel of the Black Park Gravel Member.

Topsoil within the site appears to derive from the underlying subsoil. I saw no evidence of soil-stripping, trenching, or level-alteration in the recent past, nor did I observe any apparent compaction or drainage problems.

4.7 Measurements on site

Tree heights estimated by scaling pole.

Tree diameters measured as per the Standard, Annex C.

Tree spreads on the plans below are approximately to scale, determined on site, typically by laser rangefinder, direct measurement, pacing, sighting in relation to site features and architect-supplied plan data.

4.8 Tree data table

This is the core of the report in terms of site observations. In all cases, in the absence of negative comment below on health/vitality and structure of trees, normal physiological condition (health) and structural condition applies. Unless stated otherwise, 'tap tests' on the

trunk-bases, etc., for the sonority typically associated with decay in trees were found to be normal. Unless stated otherwise, no signs of protected species were noted; for example, potential bat roost features (PRFs below). Where no height to lowest branch figure is given, the information appears completely irrelevant to planning determination. The matter of clearance above ground level is discussed under the individual tree entries if this is relevant to planning determination. (For information on other data in the columns, see section 3 above.)

Tree number	Tree type	Height (m)	Stem diameters (mm)	Radius of RPA if circle (mm)	RPA (m^2)	Comments	Life expectancy (years)	Assessed BS5837 value category
1	horse chestnut	7	140	1680	8.9	Unimportant in landscape.	40+	C1
2	domestic apple	4	260	3120	30.6	Trunk has advanced decay. Strong lean. Likely to fail. Recommend remove, purely for safety reasons.	<10	U
3	common ash	15	800	9600	289.5	Outside site; no access to base.	20+	C1
4	Laburnum spp	4	120	1440	6.5	Almost dead	<10	U
5	English oak	9	280	3360	35.5	Young and of high vitality	40+	B1
6	common holly	6	275	3300	34.2	Rather thin in the crown	20+	C1
7	Douglas fir	11	440	5280	87.6	Prominent in street scene	40+	B1
8	silver lime	14	598	7176	161.8	Reportedly the subject of a TPO. Important in street scene.	40+	A2
9	silver lime	13	455	5460	93.7	Reportedly the subject of a TPO. Prominent in street scene.	40+	B1
10	common holly	5	275	3300	34.2	Slight contribution to street scene. Partly obscured from view by garage.	40+	C1

4.9 Photos

Note on photo labelling- the colour of the numeral identifying trees matches that used for the four BS 5837:2012 tree value categories (see 3.6 above)

Views of trees - rear

View of trees 5 and 6 - rear

View of tree 7 – front – on adjoining land

5 Arboricultural impact assessment (AIA)

5.1 RPAs – modifications to shape

I carried out an assessment as per the Standard (section 4.6.2) in connection with the plotting of the RPAs of all trees. This section requires that site conditions such as the locations of various structures, the internal support mechanisms of various trees, etc., are taken into account in determining the likely position of roots. Adjoining structures and features have been noted in this respect. Where applicable, the modified-shape RPA, of equivalent area, has been plotted on the plans appended (shown as shapes bounded by an orange line). The subsoil is likely to be sand and gravel, a non-shrinkable medium.

The shapes of the root systems of trees have probably been affected by subsoil type. Gravel, and chalk soils typically limit downward penetration of roots. Other soils tend to be less modifying of root behaviour.

Adjoining structures have likely affected the RPAs, as indicated on plans.

The factors above have, in this case, no significance in connection with the impact assessment and TPP provided.

5.2 Roots and the design

It is usual for discussions between the arboriculturist and architect to take place at an early stage following the arboriculturist's site survey. Modifications, minor or major, to the proposals as first received are typically discussed, with a view to promoting tree retention and health.

No need arose in this case to discuss, as I found no significant conflicts with trees worthy of retention, q.v. below.

5.3 The static root plate (SRP) compared with RPA

SRP is an abbreviation for static root plate, (Mattheck, 1991, etc.) and means the structurally significant roots nearest the trunk: the principal roots that hold the tree upright. This is derived from a radial dimension based on trunk diameter near ground level. The RPA is a guide to where physiologically significant roots, those necessary for, primarily, water uptake, are likely to be located.

5.4 Assessment of SRP/RPA encroachment by dwelling/structure footprint

No encroachment on the SRP or RPA of any retained tree is entailed.

In view of the above, as the changes do not involve significant root cutting, and in view of tree-friendly methods as proposed below, I see no basis to conclude that the trees will suffer harm, if these methods are followed carefully.

5.5 Perception of trees by building users

The proposed (extended) dwelling is in an almost identical position in relation to the trees as is the existing structure.

The existing structure's position in relation to the existing trees has not generated any obvious or reported requirement to prune trees inappropriately. In view of the above I conclude that shading by and perception of trees have been considered (as the Standard (sections 5.3.4 and 5.6.2.6) recommends) and are not negative factors.

5.6 Superstructure and tree appraisal – tree pruning

In accordance with the Standard, section 4.4.2.5 (f), I note from the drawings supplied that no encroachment by the superstructure on the crowns of retained trees will occur.

5.7 Access clearance

I note from my site visit and the plans received that no retained tree conflicts with pedestrians, construction traffic, nor end-user vehicles.

5.8 Policy compliance

The LPA website was searched for relevant policy documents and supplementary planning documents (SPDs). I am aware of

- **https://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/local-plan-and-review**
- **https://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/planning-obligations**

It is of course ultimately for planners to determine compliance with planning policy.

I submit that the proposals in this report, encompassing tree protection methods in accordance with the principles of British Standard 5837:2012, will, if implemented, facilitate fair compliance with all relevant policies relating to trees.

6 Conclusion

6.1 Summary

I conclude that the impact by the scheme proposed on the amenity provided by trees, subject to implementation of the arboricultural method statement's contents, will, overall be negligible.

6.2 Note to LPA

I invite the LPA to consider, if it is minded to grant consent, the incorporation of the specific *order of implementation* of the **Arboricultural method statement** below into any Conditions applied. Such measures are likely to maximise tree protection. Finalised details of tree-handling on site during construction is typically a matter requiring the input of a main contractor within CDM regulations, and these matters in practice almost always follow planning consent, as it is typical for no contractor to have been appointed prior. The writer is willing to prepare a Construction Issue version of the AMS in due course.

7 Sources and relevant documents used

- Ground-level inspection
- Supplied plans:
    - Michael Oakes Architects Ltd. drg. no.: 0427-PLA-03_A
    - Michael Oakes Architects Ltd. drg. no.: 0427-PLA-04_A

8 Copyright

9 Arboricultural method statement (AMS)

9.1 Overview

The methods required involve not only physical arrangements on site but effective administration prior to implementation. Trees that have been the recipients of careful handling during construction add considerably to the appeal and value of the finished development. If conflicts between any part of a tree and the building(s) arise in the course of building works these can often be resolved quickly and at little cost if an arboriculturist is consulted promptly. Lack of such care is often apparent quickly and decline and death of such trees can wreck design aims. It can of course also affect saleability, and reflects poorly on the construction and design personnel involved.

I propose that arboricultural administration takes place as outlined below. Needless to state the MC must fully comply with these proposals for them to be effective. This involves proper initial contact with the retained arboricultural consultant, followed by persisting contact, throughout the contract, until at least late landscaping stage.

9.2 Administration

A. Identification of key personnel in order of responsibility for tree protection on site

Role	Name	Company	E-mail	Mobile	Landline
site manager	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC
main contractor	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC
architect	Michael Oakes	Michael Oakes Architects Ltd.	michaeloakesarchitect@ googlemail.com	TBC	01895 235089
arboriculturist	John Cromar	John Cromar's Arboricultural Co. Ltd.	johncromar@treescan.co.uk	07860 453072	01582 808020

B. Induction and personnel awareness of arboricultural matters

Prior to commencement a meeting will be held on site between the arboriculturist and the site manager (who will be required to sign the awareness document 9.4 below) and during which meeting all the tree protection methods, materials, order and integration with the build programme will be considered. This document, confirming awareness on the part of personnel of the various items, will be retained for the LPA.

C. Inspection of and supervision schedule for tree protection measures, frequency and methods of site visiting and record keeping

At site possession, the tree protection measures applicable to the works, as detailed in this report will be inspected by the arboriculturist and signed off if compliant. An initial inspection will take place; a monthly inspection will take place routinely; unannounced site inspections may also be carried out. Additionally, the arboriculturist shall attend site as required by architect, or site agent, or the LPA. *All reports on site visits to be copied to the LPA within 5 days of site visit.* These reports to be compiled, and an end of project summary produced, together with any recommendations for future action.

D. Procedures for dealing with variations and incidents

As C above. Additionally, the architect shall inform the arboriculturist of any design variations or variation intention of tree protection; also, the site manager shall inform the arboriculturist if he intends to vary or deviate from the agreed tree protection methods or timing. Action in response to incidents will be commensurate with and appropriate to the nature of any such incident.

E. The order of work on the site, including demolition, clearance and building

As per tree protection methods below.

F. How problems will be reported and solved

Any breaches of tree protection measures shall constitute a Tree-Related Incident ('TRI'), a report on which will be copied to architect, client and LPA. A remedial action notice will be served by the arboriculturist and copied to all parties. Timescales for remediation completion shall be monitored. *All reports on site visits will be copied to the LPA within 5 days of site visit.* Action in response to incidents will be commensurate with and appropriate to the nature of any such incident. Any breach of the stipulated timescale for remediation will trigger a further TRI report.

G. How accidents and emergencies involving trees will be dealt with

Dependent on nature of incident; as above; an e-mail with photographic inclusion will be sent by the site agent. The arboriculturist or staff will attend site to appraise the situation and determine remedial action. A TRI report will be issued, as above.

9.3 Implementation on site

It is proposed that the methods specified below are followed in their entirety. Please note that the methods are referenced by various colours, lines and hatches on the tree protection plans appended. The scale of the plans is dependent on the paper size on which any hardcopy is produced.

It is highly important to tree health and vitality that construction activities are carried out strictly in accordance with the tree-friendly construction methods below. It is widely not understood outside the arboricultural profession, for example, that a single traverse of a root protection area by a mechanical excavator can cause significant and permanent damage to trees, even if this is not visible immediately afterward.

N.b. The methods below are intended to be read not only by the instructing client, but also by all others concerned with processing and determining of the application. Following planning approval, the methods are finally intended for full implementation on site by the main contractor or in some cases by a DIY builder. A degree of familiarity with the language of basic building techniques is assumed.

I will of course explain any unfamiliar arboricultural term – see contact details on cover page, and at the end of the report.

9.4 Tree-friendly construction methods and awareness document

Section 9.4 including all the methods below should be printed out; the plans to full scale, and kept readily to hand on site. (To be read and duly completed:) I the undersigned builder / site agent / main contractor have been given a copy of the tree protection measures reproduced below and the plans S1492-J1-P1 v1 and S1492-J1-P2 v1 with which they are to be read. I have studied these tree protection measures on site with the arboriculturist. I have asked questions if I have been unsure about the practicability or safety of any measure. Any queries arising have been resolved. I see no reason why the tree protection should not be implemented as outlined below and undertake to take all reasonable steps within my remit to promote their installation and retention for the duration required, as outlined below.

There are 8no. methods in this set, to be implemented in the order given unless stated otherwise. Any breaches shall constitute a TRI, in accordance with 9.2 F, G above.

PREPARATION / DEMOLITION

Please read with tree protection plan, S1492-J1 P2, appended.

Method 1: ***WELFARE FACILITY (Aim of method: to facilitate compliance with HSE regulations whilst providing protection for trees during demolition operations and construction)***
The placement in terms of whereabouts on site of the structure is flexible: no pruning of tree branches to accommodate the superstructure shall take place. No reduction whatever in existing ground levels shall take place in RPAs (orange shape/circles on plans). Timber bearers such as modern or re-purposed railway sleepers shall be laid directly on the ground surface. Alternatively the floor and superstructure supporting frame shall be supported by micro-piles such as StopDigging or Great British Ground Screw Company Ltd. proprietary or similar micro-piles inserted with hand tools only. Trial pits to determine micro-pile locations shall be dug with hand tools only. N.B. The precise location of piles is flexible. Probes such as screwdrivers or steel rod <10mm diameter to determine root presence ahead of digging shall be used. The work shall proceed cautiously. No roots over 20mm diameter shall be cut. No connection to services of any kind shall be made below ground level in RPAs (orange shape/circles on plans): all services in and out shall be above ground level.

Method 2: ***TREE PROTECTION FENCING (Aim of method: to provide protection for trunks, branches and roots during demolition operations and construction)***

This method shall apply where indicated by pink lines. Tree protection fencing shall be erected, in accordance with the heavy-duty specification - BS5837:2012 section 6.2.2., Figure 2.

No ground levels reduction or excavation shall take place within (=the tree side of) the fence lines.

No construction machinery on tracks or wheels shall enter the fenced-off zone(s). Incursions shall constitute a TRI, in accordance with 9.2 F, G above.

No fires shall be made on any part of the site, or within 20m of any tree to be retained. No storage of materials shall be made within (the tree side of) the protective fences.

Figure 2 **Default specification for protective barrier**



Key

1 Standard scaffold poles
2 Heavy gauge 2 m tall galvanized tube and welded mesh infill panels
3 Panels secured to uprights and cross-members with wire ties
4 Ground level
5 Uprights driven into the ground until secure (minimum depth 0.6 m)
6 Standard scaffold clamps

Method 3: ***TREE PROTECTION FENCING (Aim of method: to provide protection for trunks, branches and roots during demolition operations and construction)***

Tree protection fencing shall be erected, consisting of 'Heras' type fencing (weld-mesh panels), each section securely attached to uprights driven at least 0.6m into ground, as per the layout as shown on the plan (pink lines). No ground levels reduction or excavation shall take place within (=the tree side of) the fence lines. The standard rubber supports ('elephant's feet') shall if used, be as per BS 5837:2012 section 6.2.2, figure 3, below; that is, pinned to the substrate with re-bar. No construction machinery on tracks or wheels shall enter the fenced-off zone(s). Incursions shall constitute a TRI, in accordance with 9.2 F, G above.

Below the crowns of trees with branches extending to less than 2m above ground level, in order to avoid unnecessary pruning, it is permissible to replace sections with manufactured boards at least 11mm thick (hoarding), attached securely to timber uprights driven at least 0.6m into the ground, providing the finished fence stands at least 1.5m above ground level.

Where required to infill odd sections, tree protection fencing may be varied to >1.8m high hoarding of >11mm thick manufactured board and timber uprights >50mm x 100mm, no part of any of which is to be attached to any tree.



Figure 1 BS 5837:2012 section 6, figure 3

No fires shall be made on any part of the site, or within 20m of any tree to be retained. No storage of materials shall be made within (the tree side of) the protective fences. No breaching or moving of the protective fences shall take place without the approval of an arboriculturist.

Method 4: ***GROUND SURFACE HANDLING and PROTECTION (Aim of method: to provide protection for roots during demolition operations and construction)***

This method shall apply in the zones cross-hatched blue on plan. NO levels reduction shall take place. This includes no 'scraping up' with a mechanical excavator or otherwise. Any existing hard surfacing, any existing surface debris, light vegetation, etc., that lies within the zone shall be removed using hand tools only. To handle loads exceeding 2 tonnes the ground surface shall be covered with TuffTrak® Euromat ground guards or similar appropriate temporary trackway sections. The temporary trackways shall be fixed together with manufacturers' approved fixings. On completion of build phase the ground guards shall be lifted by hand or by plant standing outside the zone.

Any scaffold erection shall take its bearing directly off the ground surface via spreader plates/scaffold boards.

Method 5: ***DEMOLITION (Aim of method: to prevent asphyxiation and contamination of roots during demolition operations)***

This method shall apply generally. Any demolition shall be carried out with hand tools or hand-held power tools only. Arisings shall be removed for disposal off site. None shall be spread in root protection areas (orange shapes/circles).

CONSTRUCTION

Method 6: ***SERVICE TRENCHES (Aim of method: to limit and control root damage during services installation close to tree roots)***
N.b. This applies to ALL services: Electricity, gas, water, etc. Existing services shall be utilised wherever possible.

These methods shall apply generally within any RPA (orange shapes/circles).

1) The trench shall be opened with an air-spade to required depth. Roots 20mm or more in diameter unearthed shall be temporarily protected with bubble-wrap and insulating or gaffer tape while rest of trench is dug. Services shall be worked under/over/around/between roots so as not to cut or damage any larger than 20mm diameter.
   OR
2) The trench shall be dug with hand tools only. Probes such as screwdrivers or steel rod <10mm diameter to determine root presence ahead of digging shall be used. The work shall proceed cautiously. No roots over 20mm diameter shall be cut. Roots 20mm or more in diameter unearthed shall be temporarily protected with bubble-wrap and insulating or gaffer tape while rest of trench is dug. Services shall be worked under/over/around/between roots so as not to cut or damage any larger than 20mm diameter.

Method 7: ***CONCRETE and MORTAR MIXING***
This method shall apply generally within any RPA (orange shapes/circles). No concrete or mortar mixing shall take place unless within a fully bunded area with no outflow to any part of an RPA. Any slurry arising shall be pumped to an IBC or similar container and removed from site for disposal.

LATE CONSTRUCTION and LANDSCAPING PHASE

Method 8: ***LANDSCAPING PREPARATION IN ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (Aim of method: to ensure thrift of topsoil)***
This method shall apply after completion of main build only. Operations shall take place only after a minimum of 3 days after heavy rain, and shall where possible be carried out 7 days or more after such rainfall. Ground preparation within root protection areas shall entail use of hand tools only. The ground surface shall be thoroughly hand-forked over in vertical mode only to one spit's depth (250mm). Care shall be taken not to damage tree roots greater than 20mm diameter. Weed treatment if required shall be via BASIS qualified operatives. Surface debris shall be removed by hand to barrow and disposed of off-site. No wheeled or tracked plant shall be used: hand-held power tools may be used. (Outside root protection areas, mechanical cultivation shall be permitted.) The finishing soil horizon where additional planting medium is required shall be composed of biochar (see: https://www.soilfixer.co.uk/biochar-article) mixed with topsoil (to BS3882:2015 topsoil) - 5% by volume (equating to 20 kgs of product per cubic metre of topsoil), which shall be laid by hand-barrow: no mechanical plant shall over-run the loose-tipped material. All handling of soils/soil-mix shall take place only after a minimum of 3 days after heavy rain, and shall where possible be carried out 7 days or more after such rainfall. The mix shall be laid to finish to required levels and allowed to settle via mist irrigation /

watering-in / natural rainfall. The ground surface shall be worked to a fine tilth with hand tools prior to planting. No mechanical compaction whatever shall be used. Levelling and minimal consolidation shall be by hand tools / foot and board only, or naturally. Earthworm Inoculation Units (see: https://www.wormsdirectuk.co.uk/product/worm-colonies-lawn-areas/) shall be placed with their tops 150mm below ground level at 5m intervals in all soil build-up areas. The units, which are typically cardboard, shall be earthed in and irrigated.

(All design subject to engineering approval, but used on other sites and known to be practicable and reliable).

Name [print]:

For construction company:

Date:

Signature……

S1492-J1-R-1

End of section 9.4 document

End of main body of report – plans appended.

Dated: 21st November 2024

Signature (for John Cromar's Arboricultural Co. Ltd.)

John Cromar

Dip. Arb. (RFS), FArborA

JOHN CROMAR'S
ARBORICULTURAL
COMPANY LTD

www.treescan.co.uk
admin@treescan.co.uk

01582 808020
07860453072

10 Plans

N.b. The scale of the plans is dependent on the paper size on which any hard copy is produced.

S1492-J1-P1 v1

S1492-J1-P2 v1



JOHN CROMAR'S ARBORICULTURAL COMPANY LIMITED

THE OLD SCHOOL,
TITLEY, KINGTON,
HR5 3RN.
at Wheatley, Oxford
& Harpenden, Herts.

TEL 01582 808020
FAX 01544 231006
MOB 07860 453072
admin@treescan.co.uk
www.treescan.co.uk

KEY TO COLOURS / LINETYPES USED IN RELATION TO TREES

GREEN - High Value (A)
BLUE - Moderate Value (B)
BLACK - Low Value (C)
RED - Very short life expectancy (U)
ORANGE SHAPES: Root Protection Areas

Spread and trunk colours correspond directly to those used in British Standard 5837:2012, Table 2.

TOOTHED LINE: Tree spread line

DRG. NAME

TREE VALUE ASSESSMENT (AS PER BS 5837:2012) & ROOT PROTECTION AREAS

NOTES

Do not use for setting out purposes.
All dimensions to be checked on site.

Any scale referenced below applies **ONLY** when plan **printed at ISO A1 size.**

The original of this drawing was produced in colour - a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.

TEXT
FOR FULL DETAILS OF TREE VALUE PLEASE SEE REPORT

BASED ON
MICHAEL OAKES ARCHITECTS LTD. DRG. NO.: 0427-PLA-03_A and 04_A SUPPLIED

SITE ADDRESS
239 Park Road, Uxbridge, UB8 1NS

DRG. REF.	REV. NO.
S1492-J1-P1	v1
SCALE & SIZE	DATE
1:200 @ A1	21-Nov-24

0 5 10

The methods below typically each have a unique colour code and hatch or other reference to the plan, for example, pink lines indicate where fences to protect trees should be positioned.

PREPARATION / DEMOLITION

Method 1: ***WELFARE FACILITY (Aim of method: to facilitate compliance with HSE regulations whilst providing protection for trees during demolition operations and construction)***

The placement in terms of whereabouts on site of the structure is flexible: no pruning of tree branches to accommodate the superstructure shall take place. No reduction whatever in existing ground levels shall take place in RPAs (orange shape/circles on plans). Timber bearers such as modern or re-purposed railway sleepers shall be laid directly on the ground surface. Alternatively the floor and superstructure supporting frame shall be supported by micro-piles such as StopDigging or Great British Ground Screw Company Ltd. proprietary or similar micro-piles inserted with hand tools only. Trial pits to determine micro-pile locations shall be dug with hand tools only. N.B. The precise location of piles is flexible. Probes such as screwdrivers or steel rod <10mm diameter to determine root presence ahead of digging shall be used. The work shall proceed cautiously. No roots over 20mm diameter shall be cut. No connection to services of any kind shall be made below ground level in RPAs (orange shape/circles on plans): all services in and out shall be above ground level.

Method 2: ***TREE PROTECTION FENCING (Aim of method: to provide protection for trunks, branches and roots during demolition operations and construction)***

This method shall apply where indicated by pink lines. Tree protection fencing shall be erected, in accordance with the heavy-duty specification - BS5837:2012 section 6.2.2., Figure 2.

No ground levels reduction or excavation shall take place within (=the tree side of) the fence lines.

No construction machinery on tracks or wheels shall enter the fenced-off zone(s). Incursions shall constitute a TRI, in accordance with 9.2 F, G above.

No fires shall be made on any part of the site, or within 20m of any tree to be retained. No storage of materials shall be made within (the tree side of) the protective fences.

Method 3: ***TREE PROTECTION FENCING (Aim of method: to provide protection for trunks, branches and roots during demolition operations and construction)***

Tree protection fencing shall be erected, consisting of 'Heras' type fencing (weld-mesh panels), each section securely attached to uprights driven at least 0.6m into ground, as per the layout as shown on the plan (pink lines). No ground levels reduction or excavation shall take place within (=the tree side of) the fence lines. The standard rubber supports ('elephant's feet') shall if used, be as per BS 5837:2012 section 6.2.2, figure 3; that is, pinned to the substrate with re-bar. No construction machinery on tracks or wheels shall enter the fenced-off zone(s). Incursions shall constitute a TRI, in accordance with 9.2 F, G above.

Below the crowns of trees with branches extending to less than 2m above ground level, in order to avoid unnecessary pruning, it is permissible to replace sections with manufactured boards at least 11mm thick (hoarding), attached securely to timber uprights driven at least 0.6m into the ground, providing the finished fence stands at least 1.5m above ground level.

Where required to infill odd sections, tree protection fencing may be varied to >1.8m high hoarding of >11mm thick manufactured board and timber uprights >50mm x 100mm, no part of any of which is to be attached to any tree.

No fires shall be made on any part of the site, or within 20m of any tree to be retained. No storage of materials shall be made within (the tree side of) the protective fences. No breaching or moving of the protective fences shall take place without the approval of an arboriculturist.

Method 4: ***GROUND SURFACE HANDLING and PROTECTION (Aim of method: to provide protection for roots during demolition operations and construction)***

This method shall apply in the zones cross-hatched blue on plan. NO levels reduction shall take place. This includes no 'scraping up' with a mechanical excavator or otherwise. Any existing hard surfacing, any existing surface debris, light vegetation, etc., that lies within the zone shall be removed using hand tools only. To handle loads exceeding 2 tonnes the ground surface shall be covered with TuffTrak® Euromat ground guards or similar appropriate temporary trackway sections. The temporary trackways shall be fixed together with manufacturers' approved fixings. On completion of build phase the ground guards shall be lifted by hand or by plant standing outside the zone.

Any scaffold erection shall take its bearing directly off the ground surface via spreader plates/scaffold boards.

Method 5: ***DEMOLITION (Aim of method: to prevent asphyxiation and contamination of roots during demolition operations)***

This method shall apply generally. Any demolition shall be carried out with hand tools or hand-held power tools only. Arisings shall be removed for disposal off site. None shall be spread in root protection areas (orange shapes/circles).

CONSTRUCTION

Method 6: ***SERVICE TRENCHES (Aim of method: to limit and control root damage during services installation close to tree roots)***

N.b. This applies to ALL services: Electricity, gas, water, etc. Existing services shall be utilised wherever possible.

These methods shall apply generally within any RPA (orange shapes/circles).

1) The trench shall be opened with an air-spade to required depth. Roots 20mm or more in diameter unearthed shall be temporarily protected with bubble-wrap and insulating or gaffer tape while rest of trench is dug. Services shall be worked under/over/around/between roots so as not to cut or damage any larger than 20mm diameter.

   OR

2) The trench shall be dug with hand tools only. Probes such as screwdrivers or steel rod <10mm diameter to determine root presence ahead of digging shall be used. The work shall proceed cautiously. No roots over 20mm diameter shall be cut. Roots 20mm or more in diameter unearthed shall be temporarily protected with bubble-wrap and insulating or gaffer tape while rest of trench is dug. Services shall be worked under/over/around/between roots so as not to cut or damage any larger than 20mm diameter.

Method 7: ***CONCRETE and MORTAR MIXING***

This method shall apply generally within any RPA (orange shapes/circles). No concrete or mortar mixing shall take place unless within a fully bunded area with no outflow to any part of an RPA. Any slurry arising shall be pumped to an IBC or similar container and removed from site for disposal.

LATE CONSTRUCTION and LANDSCAPING PHASE

Method 8: ***LANDSCAPING PREPARATION IN ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (Aim of method: to ensure thrift of topsoil)***

This method shall apply after completion of main build only. Operations shall take place only after a minimum of 3 days after heavy rain, and shall where possible be carried out 7 days or more after such rainfall. Ground preparation within root protection areas shall entail use of hand tools only. The ground surface shall be thoroughly hand-forked over in vertical mode only to one spit's depth (250mm). Care shall be taken not to damage tree roots greater than 20mm diameter. Weed treatment if required shall be via BASIS qualified operatives. Surface debris shall be removed by hand to barrow and disposed of off-site. No wheeled or tracked plant shall be used: hand-held power tools may be used. (Outside root protection areas, mechanical cultivation shall be permitted.) The finishing soil horizon where additional planting medium is required shall be composed of biochar (see: https://www.soilfixer.co.uk/biochar-article) mixed with topsoil (to BS3882:2015 topsoil) - 5% by volume (equating to 20 kgs of product per cubic metre of topsoil), which shall be laid by hand-barrow: no mechanical plant shall over-run the loose-tipped material. All handling of soils/soil-mix shall take place only after a minimum of 3 days after heavy rain, and shall where possible be carried out 7 days or more after such rainfall. The mix shall be laid to finish to required levels and allowed to settle via mist irrigation / watering-in / natural rainfall. The ground surface shall be worked to a fine tilth with hand tools prior to planting. No mechanical compaction whatever shall be used. Levelling and minimal consolidation shall be by hand tools / foot and board only, or naturally. Earthworm Inoculation Units (see: https://www.wormsdirectuk.co.uk/product/worm-colonies-lawn-areas/) shall be placed with their tops 150mm below ground level at 5m intervals in all soil build-up areas. The units, which are typically cardboard, shall be earthed in and irrigated.

(All design subject to engineering approval, but used on other sites and known to be practicable and reliable).



JOHN CROMAR'S ARBORICULTURAL COMPANY LIMITED

THE OLD SCHOOL,
TITLEY, KINGTON,
HR5 3RN.
at Wheatley, Oxford & Harpenden, Herts.

TEL 01582 808020
FAX 01544 231006
MOB 07860 453072
admin@treescan.co.uk
www.treescan.co.uk

KEY TO COLOURS / LINETYPES USED IN RELATION TO TREES

GREEN - High Value (A)
BLUE - Moderate Value (B)
BLACK - Low Value (C)
RED - Very short life expectancy (U)
ORANGE SHAPES: Root Protection Areas

Spread and trunk colours correspond directly to those used in British Standard 5837:2012, Table 2.

PROPOSED NEW PLANTING:
Where applicable, this is indicated by green stipple within roundels (trees) or other shapes, e.g., for hedges. For key to the letters designating locations, please see report.

DRG. NAME

TREE PROTECTION PLAN

NOTES

Do not use for setting out purposes.
All dimensions to be checked on site.

Any scale referenced below applies **ONLY** when plan **printed at ISO A1 size.**

The original of this drawing was produced in colour - a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.

TEXT

PLEASE READ WITH SECTION 9 OF REPORT FOR FULL METHOD DETAILS

BASED ON

MICHAEL OAKES ARCHITECTS LTD. DRG. NO.: 0427-PLA-03_A SUPPLIED

SITE ADDRESS

239 Park Road, Uxbridge, UB8 1NS

DRG. REF.	REV. NO.
S1492-J1-P2	v1
SCALE & SIZE	DATE
1:200 @ A1	21-Nov-24

0 5 10