

GHA trees
5 South Drive
High Wycombe
Bucks
HP13 6JU



t: 07884056025
e: glen@ghatrees.co.uk
www.ghatrees.co.uk

Glen Harding
TECH CERT (ARBOR. A)

Arboricultural and Planning Integration Report: 32 Linksway, Northwood, Middlesex, HA6 2XB

20th January 2022

Ref: GHA/DS/19460:22

CONTENTS

Section	Subject	Page
	Instructions	3
	Executive Summary	3
	Documents Supplied	4
	Scope of Survey	4
	Survey Method	5
	The Site	6
	Subject Trees	6
	The Proposal	6
	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	7
	Post Development Pressure	7
	Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development Works	8
	Conclusion	10
	Recommendations	11
Appendix A	Site Plan	
Appendix B	Tree Table	
Appendix C	Extract from BS5837 – Protective Fencing	

Arboricultural Report

Location: 32 Linksway, Northwood, Middlesex, HA6 2XB
Ref: GHA/DS/19460:22
Client: Dusek Design
Date: 20th January 2022
Report Prepared by: Glen Harding Tech Cert (Arbor.A)
Date of Inspection: 23rd June 2018

Please note that abbreviations introduced in [Square brackets] may be used throughout the report.

Instructions

Issued by – Dusek Design

TERMS OF REFERENCE – GHA Trees were instructed to survey the subject trees within and adjacent to 32 Linksway, Northwood, Middlesex, HA6 2XB, in order to assess their general condition and to provide a planning integration statement for the indicative proposed development that safeguards the long term well being of the retained trees in a sustainable manner.

The writer retains the copyright of this report and its content is for the sole use of the client(s) named above. Copying of this document may only be undertaken in connection with the above instruction. Reproduction of the whole, or any part of the document without written consent from GHA Trees is forbidden. Tree work contractors, for the purpose of tendering only, may reproduce the Schedule for tree works included in the appendices.

Executive Summary

The proposal for the site is to demolish the existing house and then construct a new detached dwelling. The proposed scheme requires the removal of a small number of relatively insignificant trees and shrubs, which will not significantly impact the local or wider landscape. The development presents an excellent opportunity to plant some new trees, to enhance the site and local area for the future. The retained trees require protection in accordance with industry best practice and BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations, in order to ensure their longevity.

Documents Supplied

Dusek Design supplied the following documents:

1. Existing layout plans
2. Proposed layout plans
3. Existing elevation plans
4. Proposed elevation plans

Scope of Survey

- 1.1 The survey is concerned with the arboricultural aspects of the site only.
- 1.2 The planning status of the trees was not investigated in detail.
- 1.3 A qualified Arboriculturist undertook the report and site visit and the contents of this report are based on this. Whilst reference may be made to built structure or soils, these are only opinions and confirmation should be obtained from a qualified expert as required.
- 1.4 Trees in third party properties were surveyed from within the subject property, therefore a detailed assessment was not possible and some (if not all) measurements were estimated. This is also the case where dense vegetation exists which prohibits access to the base of the tree(s).
- 1.5 No discussions took place between the surveyor and any other party.
- 1.6 The trees were inspected on the basis of the Visual Tree Assessment method expounded by Mattheck and Breleor (The body language of tree, DoE booklet Research for Amenity Trees No. 4, 1994)
- 1.7 The survey was undertaken in accord with British Standard 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations
- 1.8 Pruning works will be required to be in accord with British Standard 3998 – 2010 (Tree Work - Recommendations).
- 1.9 Underground services near to trees will need to be installed in accord with the guidance given in BS5837 together with the National Joint Utilities Group Booklet 4: 2007 Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility services in proximity to trees (NJUG4).
- 1.10 Where hard surfacing may be required in close proximity to trees, BS5837: 2012, and the principles of Arboricultural Practice Note 12: Through the Trees to Development (AAIS) 2007 (APN12) with regards to “no dig” surfacing will be employed.
- 1.11 Reference is made to the National House Building Council Standards, 2003, chapter 4.2: Building near trees (NHBC).

- 1.12 The client's attention is drawn to the responsibilities under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981).

Survey Method

- 2.1 The survey was conducted from ground level with the aid of binoculars.
- 2.2 No tissue samples were taken nor was any internal investigation of the subject trees undertaken.
- 2.3 No soil samples were taken.
- 2.4 The height of each subject tree was estimated using a clinometer.
- 2.5 The stem diameters were measured in line with the requirements set out in BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations
- 2.6 The crown spreads were measured with an electronic distometer. Where the crown radius was notably different in any direction this has been noted on the Plan (appendix A), or in the tree table (Appendix B).
- 2.7 The Root Protection Area (RPA) for each tree is included in the tree table, both as an area, and as the radius of a circle.
- 2.8 The crown clearance was measured in metres. Where it is significantly lower in one direction, this is noted within the tree table at appendix B.
- 2.9 All of the trees that were inspected during the site visit are detailed on the plan at Appendix A. Please note that the attached plans are for indicative purposes only, and that the trees are plotted at approximate positions. The trees on this plan are categorised and shown in the following format: COLOUR CODING AND RATING OF TREES:

Category A – Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = light green crown outline on plan.

Category B – Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = mid blue crown outline on plan.

Category C – Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. Colour = uncoloured crown outline on plan.

Category U – Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Colour = red crown outline on plan.

The crowns of those trees that are proposed for removal, or trees where the crown spread is deemed insignificant in relation to the proposed development are not always shown on the appended plan; however their stem locations are marked for reference.

All references to tree rating are made in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations’, Table 1

The Site

- 3.1 The site is located on Linksway, a residential through road located to the south west of Northwood town centre.
- 3.2 A good tree cover is present on the site itself as well as adjacent sites, with many semi-mature and mature trees of both native and exotic origin characterising the local area.
- 3.3 Access to the property is currently gained via a driveway to the front (East) of the site.

The Subject Trees

- 4.1 The details of the subject trees are set out in the Schedule at Appendix B.
- 4.2 The overall quality of the trees is good.
- 4.3 Of the eighteen individual trees, and groups of trees surveyed, seven have been assessed as BS 5837 category B, with the remaining trees being assessed as BS 5837 category C.

The Proposal

- 5.1 The proposal for the site is to demolish the existing house and then construct a new detached dwelling.
- 5.2 The proposed location of the above structures can be seen on the appended plan.

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

TREE REMOVAL / RETENTION:

- 6.1 The following trees are proposed for removal as part of the new development, as these specimens could not be effectively retained, due to their position in relation to the new structure(s):

T2, T7, T8 and T9

- 6.2 All of the trees to be removed have been given a C category grading in accordance with BS 5837. It is therefore felt that these trees should not act as a limitation on the effective use of the site, or impose any significant constraints on the layout (see table 1 BS5837).
- 6.3 The assessed grading (as per BS5837 table 1) of each of the trees to be removed, as well as any relevant comments on their condition can be seen in the tree table at appendix B.

TREE PRUNING TO ACCOMMODATE THE PROPOSAL OR ACCESS TO THE SITE

- 6.4 The implementation of the proposal does not lead to the requirement to prune any of the retained trees, or shrubs.

ASSESSMENT OF RETAINED TREES ROOT PROTECTION AREAS

- 6.5 Section 4.6.3 of BS 5837: 2012 states that the Root Protection Area (RPA) of each tree should be assessed by an arboriculturalist considering the likely morphology and disposition of the roots, when known to be influenced by past or existing site conditions.
- 6.6 The proposed new building(s) are situated outside of the RPA's of all of the trees proposed for retention, therefore these trees pose no below ground constraints on the new buildings or vice versa.

Post Development Pressure

FUTURE TREE AND STRUCTURE RELATIONSHIPS

- 7.1 The retained trees are at a satisfactory distance from the proposed new building, and highly unlikely to give rise to any inconvenience.
- 7.2 The proposed house will be located in a similar location as existing building, where the current occupants have enjoyed a satisfactory juxtaposition between the nearby trees and their house for many years.
- 7.3 Some minor lateral pruning of the retained trees and shrubs may be required in the medium term, however any such work would not have a significant impact on the health or amenity value of these trees.

- 7.4 The BS3998: 2010 – Recommendations for Tree Work discusses and endorses various methods of pruning that can alleviate the minor inconveniences trees can cause, whilst retaining them in a healthy condition. Methods such as crown reductions (section 13.4) partial or whole, crown lifting (section 13.5) and crown thinning (section 13.6) can be used to both increase light to properties, as well as improve clearances from buildings. Trees in towns are often sited in close proximity to buildings; however residents concerns can be readily appeased with the implementation of regular, well-planned, sensitive pruning.
- 7.5 Regular inspections of the retained trees by a suitably qualified Arboriculturalist and subsequent remedial works will ensure that the trees are maintained in a suitable manner, to exist in harmony with the new structures and its occupants for many years to come.

REMEDICATION / REPLACEMENT PLANTING AND SOFT / HARD LANDSCAPING

- 7.6 An assessment of suitable planting sites within the proposed development area confirms that the loss of trees discussed in section 6.1 can be addressed by the planting of new trees that would complement the existing landscape.
- 7.7 Any new trees that are planted should be selected to ensure they do not become a nuisance and that the level of routine maintenance is low.
- 7.8 The soil type may require the guidance of NHBC as far the building foundations are concerned. Clearly the planting schedule must be available to assist with foundation design, but any potential for subsidence damage in the future will be designed out.
- 7.9 All new pathways and soft landscaping areas within the Root Protection Areas (RPA's) of the retained trees should be designed using no-dig, up and over construction and in close co-ordination with the retained Arboriculturalist using porous materials.

Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development Works

8.1 TREE PRUNING / REMOVAL

A list of all tree works that are required (including trees to be removed) is included in the tree table at Appendix B. Pruning / removal has only been specified for the following reasons:

- Where work is necessary to implement the proposed scheme.
- Where works are required for safety reasons.
- Where work is required to improve tree form, or improve the appearance of overgrown areas of the site.

Where any tree work is needed, this work will be in accordance with British Standard 3998 – 2010 (Tree Work - Recommendations).

8.2 TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS

It is essential for the future health of the trees to be retained on site, that all development activity is undertaken outside the root protection zone of these trees, whenever this is practical. The position of the proposed protective fencing for the site is shown on the plan 'Appendix A' by a pink line. The position of the fence is to be marked out with biodegradable marker paint on site and agreed with appropriate representatives from the LPA and contractor. The fencing will be erected **prior** to any works in the vicinity of the trees and removed only when all development activity is complete. The protective fencing will be as that shown in BS 5837 (see Appendix C).

The Fence must be marked with a clear sign reading:

"Construction Exclusion Zone – No Access".

8.3 REMOVAL / DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES

Prior to the new buildings construction commencing, the existing buildings will need to be removed. This work must all be undertaken by hand when within the root protection areas of retained trees, with the supervision of the retained arboriculturalist and / or the site manager. The removed material must be stored outside of the RPA of all of the retained trees whilst work commences. Any hard standings which currently support the buildings may need removing in full. These bases must be broken up using a small, lightweight "kango" drill into pieces that can be lifted by hand and removed. If during the work, any roots from the retained trees are discovered in excess of 25mm, the retained arboriculturalist must be contacted immediately to assess the roots and arrange subsequent working methods that will cause no damage to the tree(s).

8.4 DELIVERY AND STORAGE OF BUILDING MATERIALS

Due to the limited on-site storage space, it may be necessary for bulk deliveries to be split into smaller deliveries. The use of a "just in time" delivery method can also be adopted to reduce the time materials are stored on site before use.

8.5 SITE HUTS, WELFARE FACILITIES AND STORAGE OF EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS

All site huts will be positioned outside of the retained trees RPA's.

8.6 MIXING OF CONCRETE

All mixing of cement / concrete must be undertaken outside of the RPA of all of the retained trees.

8.7 USE CRANES, RIGS AND BOOMS

Precautionary measures must be observed to avoid contact of any retained trees when manoeuvring cranes rigs or booms into position.

8.8 INCOMING SERVICES AND SOAKAWAYS

Any new underground services near to trees will however need to be installed in accord with the guidance given in BS5837 together with the National Joint Utilities Group Booklet 4: 2007 Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility services in proximity to trees (NJUG4). When within the RPA of any retained tree, any new service trenches should be excavated using an airspade to avoid any damage to roots. Care must then be taken to ensure the new services are installed so as to avoid any roots present.

8.9 ON SITE SUPERVISION

A detailed supervision programme will be devised by the developer and retained Arboriculturalist, ensuring that Arboricultural supervision is present at the appropriate periods during construction. It is therefore deemed necessary for the retained arboriculturalist to visit the site at the following critical points:

- Erection of protective fencing to ensure it is constructed to the correct specification at the required proximity to ensure the healthy retention of the trees. **Date and time yet to be agreed, however once confirmed, these dates will be sent to the Local Planning Authorities Arboricultural Officer.**
- Installation of the ground protection to ensure it is constructed to the correct specification at the required proximity. **Date and time yet to be agreed, however once confirmed, these dates will be sent to the Local Planning Authorities Arboricultural Officer.**
- In addition to the above, random inspections of the site may also be undertaken during construction to ensure the Arboricultural responsibilities are being fulfilled by the developer. A full, written assessment of each visit will be sent the Local Planning Authority and copied to the developer at the expense of the applicant. Any issues relating to tree protection will subsequently be addressed immediately.

8.10 OTHER TREE PROTECTION PRECAUTIONS

- No fires lit on site within 20 metres of any tree to be retained.
- No fuels, oils or substances which will be damaging to the tree shall be spilled or poured on site.
- No storage of any materials within the root protection zone.

8.11 HARD / SOFT LANDSCAPING NEAR RETAINED TREES

All new pathways and hard landscaping areas within the Root Protection Areas (RPA's) of the retained trees should be designed using no-dig, up and over construction techniques, and be specified in close co-ordination with the retained Arboriculturalist. Porous materials should also be used when surfacing near the trees. No machinery will be used for this work, which must all be done by hand.

8.12 DISMANTLING PROTECTIVE BARRIERS

Protective barriers must only be completely removed when all machinery, and equipment has left site. A minimum of seven days notice must be given to the local planning authority prior to dismantling works begin.

Conclusion

- 9.1 In conclusion, the principal arboricultural features within the site can be retained and adequately protected during development activities.
- 9.2 Subject to precautionary measures as detailed above, the proposal will not be injurious to trees to be retained.

- 9.3 There will be no appreciable post development pressure, and certainly none that would oblige the council to give consent to inappropriate tree works.
- 9.4 New trees and shrubs can be planted following approval from the Local Planning Authority to ensure a sustainable tree stock for the future.

Recommendations

- 10.1 The site works should progress as follows to ensure the healthy retention of the trees.
- a. Tree works, in accordance with BS3998
 - b. Installation of all tree protection measures.
 - c. Construction.
 - d. Soft landscaping.
- 10.2 Site supervision – An individual e.g. the Site Agent, must be nominated to be responsible for all arboricultural matters on site. This person must:
- a. Be present on the site the majority of the time.
 - b. Be aware of the arboricultural responsibilities.
 - c. Have the authority to stop any work that is, or has the potential to cause harm to any tree.
 - d. Be responsible for ensuring that all site personnel are aware of their responsibilities towards trees on site and the consequences of the failure to observe those responsibilities.
 - e. Make immediate contact with the local authority and / or retained arboriculturalist in the event of any related tree problems occurring whether actual or potential.
- 10.3 It is recommended, that to ensure a commitment from all parties to the healthy retention of the trees, that details are passed by the architect or agent to any contractors working on site, so that the practical aspects of the above precautions are included in their method statements, and financial provision made for these.

20th January 2022

Signed:



Glen Harding
For and on behalf of GHA Trees

Appendix A

Appendix B

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T1	Cypress	12	250	1	3.00	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	M	3	10-20	C1	Sparse canopy.
T2	Magnolia	6	210	3	2.52	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	M	1	10-20	C1	Small tree of limited present or future value. Recommend: to be removed.
T3	Atlas cedar	20	900	1	10.80	10	10	10	10	M	6	20-40	B1	Off site - full inspection not possible.
T4	Oak	7	100	1	1.20	4	4	3	3	MA	2	10-20	C1	Suppressed by T5.
T5	Silver birch	20	280	1	3.36	4	4	4	2	M	2	20-40	B1	No notable defects.
T6	Silver birch	14	260	1	3.12	3	3	3	3	M	4	10-20	C1	Slightly sparse.
T7	Lawson cypress	16	410	1	4.92	3	3	3	3	M	0.5	10-20	C1	Growing too close to house - damaging garden wall. Recommend: to be removed.
T8	Cypress	15	424	2	5.09	3	3	3	3	M	0.5	10-20	C1	Growing too close to house - damaging garden wall. Recommend: to be removed.
T9	Leyland cypress	10	350	1	4.20	3	4	4	4	M	3	10-20	C1	Lapsed hedge tree. Recommend: to be removed.
T10	Ash	18	550	1	6.60	8	8	8	8	M	7 (EAST)	20-40	B1	No notable defects.
T11	Oak	16	610	1	7.32	6	8	7	9	M	4	20-40	B1	Slightly sparse. Some deadwood noted.
G12	Laurel and cypress hedge	4 to 8	100	1	1.20	2	2	2	2	M	0	10-20	C1	No notable defects.
T13	Lawson cypress	18	506	2	6.07	4	4	4	4	M	2	10-20	C1	Poor fork at ground level.

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T14	Copper beech	17	540	1	6.48	7	7	7	4	M	6	20-40	B1	No notable defects.
T15	Prunus	7	200	4	2.40	2	2	2	2	M	4	10-20	C1	Off site - full inspection not possible.
G16	Cherry and beech	10	200	1	2.40	2	4	4	4	M	3	10-20	C2	Off site - full inspection not possible.
G17	Hornbeam, oak, ash	16	350	1	4.20	8	8	8	8	M	2 (EAST)	20-40	B2	Recommend: crown reduce one declining ash within group.
T18	Oak	18	550	1	6.60	8	8	8	8	M	8 (SOUTH)	20-40	B1	Off site - full inspection not possible.

KEY :

Tree No: Tree number (T= individual tree, G= group of trees, W= woodland)

Crown = the leaf bearing part of the tree

Diameter: MS = Multi-stemmed

Age class: Young (Y), Middle aged (MA), Mature (M), Over mature (OM),
Veteran (V)

Height (Ht): Measured in metres +/- 1m

Appendix C

Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems



