

To Whom It May Concern,

Below is a summary of the meeting I have had with the Hillingdon Council free planning advice service.

My family and I have been owners of 368 Cranford Lane since Sept 2001, since moving in we have had two children. The house as it stands is a two bedroom semi-detached with a big garden.

If the third runway were not being proposed we would be moving to a bigger property but with our property falling within the compensation zone my family seem to be stuck where we are.

My eldest child 11 years old shares a room with his sister who is 5. It is for this reason I am asking for a modest first floor extension. Both of my children go to local secondary and primary schools.

I have had two meetings with the Hillingdon planning advisors the first meeting was with Tundie on the 17th January at 15:30. I went over our requirements and planned works to 368 Cranford Lane to see if this were possible under current planning rules, specifically article 4 restrictions to residential properties. It is stated that full width first floor extensions would only be considered for detached houses so as to keep symmetry between the two semidetached houses.

During this meeting, I showed the planning officer photos of the proposed development site including neighbouring properties, it was conveyed that due to site conditions that my proposed plans could be deemed acceptable due to the site conditions. At this point I had not employed any architectural services so did not have any detailed drawings. I was asked to get these produced and to come back to discuss.

On my second meeting I had with Planning I met with John Allum on the 14th February at 15:30 whereby I presented him with my proposed development and reasons for. I had this time correctly drawn architectural drawings with dimensions accompanied with photos of the site and adjoining properties. John also confirmed that this case is a tricky one as in normal course of events the application would be rejected but due to the site conditions I may be able to progress with this application. We discussed the possibility of using the full pre application advice service but was advised that the times to get any decision on feasibility would be around four months and then I would have to submit a planning application. The advisor stated that it would be better to submit a full planning application and to request that we keep in constant contact to mitigate any concerns Hillingdon Council may have. It is also worth noting that the neighbour to the left of 368 the closest room to the proposed extension is a bathroom with frosted glass.

I feel that with the existing next-door neighbour's first floor extension that significantly hinders views from the first floor bedroom of my property that my proposed plans be given approval on the basis of the existing site conditions. The plans submitted have been thought out clearly and in sympathy with existing developments as the property is only

5M wide to get a third bedroom while still adhering to the building regulations would significantly obstruct the design

Kind Regards,
Marc Edwards.

Photos of site below





