

Proposals affecting semi-natural grasslands or heathlands			•							•			NO
Proposals affecting brownfield sites, allotments and railway land			•	•					•	•	•		NO
Proposed development affecting any feature known to support <u>protected species</u> *	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	NO

Major developments sites over 4 hectares in area													NO
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

*Confirmed by data searches or other information made available to the Applicant or Local Planning Authority

TABLE 2
Sites recognised for their biodiversity importance

Sites	Status*							Does the development affect biodiversity?
	International (RAMSAR, SPA SAC)	National (SSSI)	Local Nature Reserve	Wildlife Site	Other Nature Reserve	Hedgerow Regs	Other Priority Habitat	
Proposals on or adjacent to a Statutory designated site	•	•	•		•	•	•	NO
Proposals on or adjacent to a non-statutory designated site				•	•	•	•	NO

* a full list of Priority Habitats (Habitats of Principal Importance for Biodiversity under S.41 of the NERC Act 2006) is given in the ALGE document checklist.

TABLE 3
Local requirement for geodiversity sites and features: Criteria and indicative thresholds (trigger list) for when a survey and assessment is required

Proposals for development that may trigger a Geodiversity Survey and Assessment	Key sites which an impact assessment may be required							Does the development affect geodiversity?
	Quarries and pits	Inland outcrops	Road rail / canal cuttings	Underground mines	Fossil sites	Geo-morphological features		
Impact on internationally designated site	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	NO
Impact on nationally designated site	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	NO
Impact on Regionally and locally designated sites	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	NO

The above Tables are a guide as to what biodiversity or geodiversity is important and the types of development that may affect it. Surveys and recommendations are only required if biodiversity is likely to be present and affected by proposals.

Surveys and assessments are unlikely to be required by the Local Planning Authority (LPA) where:

1. Following consultation by the applicant at the pre-application stage, the LPA or its advisors are of the opinion that surveys or assessments are not required.

2. It is clear from the nature of the site that locally significant ecological or geological interest is likely to be absent, or that the proposal is unlikely to have a significant impact.

In any event and irrespective of any advice or requirements of the LPA, protected species remain legally protected and if discovered during the course of any works, these must cease and expert guidance sought as to how to proceed.

Opportunities for enhancing biodiversity are to be encouraged in any ecological report irrespective of outcome.

Hertfordshire Ecology (HE)
Environment and Infrastructure,
Hertfordshire County Council
County Hall
Pegs Lane
Hertford
SG13 8DN
01992 555220

<https://www.hertfordshire.gov.uk/services/recycling-waste-and-environment/bio-diversity-wildlife/hertfordshire-ecological-advice-service.aspx>

Herts & Middlesex Wildlife Trust (HMWT)
Grebe House, St Michael's Street, St Albans,
Herts AL3 4SN
01727 858901

<http://www.hertswildlifetrust.org.uk/>

CONCLUSIONS

Has HE or HMWT been contacted for advice on this matter? No

Is biodiversity / geodiversity interest known to be present and likely to be affected by the proposals? No

Site Address 247 West End Road – Ruislip HA4 6QR

Details of person responsible for completing the checklist:

Name Mr. R. Lakani

Relationship to proposal **AGENT**
e.g. applicant, agent, ecological consultant

Declaration:

Being familiar with the proposed development and the site in question, the information supplied is correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed ... R. Lakani



Date **18/08/2024**