



**EAL CONSULT BUILDING SUSTAINABILITY SINCE 2008**

# **DAYLIGHT & SUNLIGHT ASSESSMENT**

## **PROPERTY ADDRESS**

Cetlas Farm Road,  
Northwood,  
HA6 2NZ

## **DATE**

February 2024

## **PREPARED BY**

EAL Consult

CONTENTS

Executive Summary .....Page 3

Terms and Definitions.....Page 4

Current Policies, regulations and benchmarks.....Page 5

Methodology .....Page 7

Site.....Page 8

Daylight & Sunlight assessment.....Page 9

Overshadowing assessment.....Page 14

Conclusion .....Page 15

Neighbouring Properties Assessed.....Appendix A

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This daylight, sunlight and overshadowing assessment has been prepared to support the planning application for the proposed residential re-development at Cetlas, Farm road, HA6 2NZ. This assessment should be consulted in conjunction with the accompanied planning drawings.

The primary purpose of this daylight, sunlight and overshadowing assessment is to determine the likely loss of light to adjacent buildings resulting from the re-development of the proposed site. Therefore, the proposed scheme can be identified as the potential source of impact.

The main objective to carry out this Daylight & Sunlight assessment is to:

- Assess the impact of the proposed flats upon the current levels of sunlight & daylight being enjoyed by the existing surrounding buildings.

The methodology set out in this report is in accordance with BRE's 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight' (BR209, 2022), which is accepted as good practice by Planning Authorities. In June 2022 a new version of the Guidelines was published, which changes the criteria and methodology to assess daylight and sunlight within newly proposed schemes. However, the aim of the new guidance is the same as the old one, which is "to help ensure good conditions in the local environment considered broadly, with enough sunlight and daylight on or between the buildings for good interior and exterior conditions", as stated in Paragraph 1.5 of the new guidance.

The following assessment was carried out:

### Daylight & Sunlight Assessment

- **Existing neighbouring properties**
  - a. Vertical Sky Component
  - b. Annual Probability of Sunlight Hours (APSH) annual and winter calculations

### Overshadowing Assessment

- **Existing Open Space - Gardens**
  - a. Sunlight hours (on the 21<sup>st</sup> of March – Equinox)

Neighbouring properties were identified which may be impacted upon by the scheme.

**The assessment of daylight, sunlight and overshadowing to the surrounding residential properties and open spaces, indicates that the proposal will not cause a noticeable change in light levels to existing occupants.**

**An overshadowing assessment was carried out for two open spaces. Results demonstrate that each amenity areas will still receive the recommended sunlight hours set by BRE.**

The proposed drawings supplied by the architect were used for the purpose of modelling and for the calculations, including location, site plan and proposed floor plans.

In most urban areas it is important to recognise that the distribution of daylight within a room may be difficult to achieve, given the built-up nature of the environment.

## TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

**Daylight Factor (D)**

Ratio of total daylight illuminance at a reference point on the working plane within a space to outdoor illuminance on a horizontal plane due to an unobstructed CIE standard overcast sky. Thus a 1% D would mean that the indoor illuminance at that point in the space would be one hundredth the outdoor unobstructed horizontal illuminance.

**Target Daylight Factor**

Daylight factor value equivalent to the target illuminance to be exceeded for more than half of annual daylight hours over a specified fraction of the reference plane within a daylit space.

**Minimum Target Daylight Factor**

Daylight factor value equivalent to the minimum target illuminance to be exceeded for more than half of annual daylight hours over 95% of the reference plane within spaces with vertical and/or inclined daylight apertures.

**CIE standard overcast sky**

A completely overcast sky for which the ratio of its luminance  $L_y$  at an angle of elevation  $\gamma$  above the horizontal to the luminance  $L_z$  at the zenith is given by:  $(1 + 2 \sin \gamma) L_y = L_z$  3 A CIE standard overcast sky is darkest at the horizon and brightest at the zenith (vertically overhead).

**Daylight, natural light**

Combined skylight and sunlight.

**No sky line**

The outline on the working plane of the area from which no sky can be seen.

## CURRENT POLICIES, REGULATIONS AND BENCHMARKS

People expect good natural lighting in their homes and in a wide range of non-domestic buildings. Daylight makes an interior look more attractive and interesting as well as providing light to work or read by. Access to skylight and sunlight helps make a building energy efficient; effective daylighting will reduce the need for electric light, while winter solar gain can meet some of the heating requirements.

The quality and quantity of natural light in an interior depend on two main factors. The design of the interior environment is important: the size and position of windows, the depth and shape of rooms, and the colours of internal surfaces. But the design of the external environment also plays a major role: e.g. if obstructing buildings are so tall that they make adequate daylighting impossible, or if they block sunlight for much of the year.

Obstructions can limit access to light from the sky. This can be checked at an early design stage by measuring or calculating the angle of visible sky  $\theta$ , angle of obstruction or vertical sky component (VSC) at the centre of the lowest window where daylight is required. If VSC is:

- at least 27% ( $\theta$  is greater than  $65^\circ$ , obstruction angle less than  $25^\circ$ ) conventional window design will usually give reasonable results.
- between 15% and 27% ( $\theta$  is between  $45^\circ$  and  $65^\circ$ , obstruction angle between  $25^\circ$  and  $45^\circ$ ) special measures (larger windows, changes to room layout) are usually needed to provide adequate daylight.
- between 5% and 15% ( $\theta$  is between  $25^\circ$  and  $45^\circ$ , obstruction angle between  $45^\circ$  and  $65^\circ$ ) it is very difficult to provide adequate daylight unless very large windows are used.
- less than 5% ( $\theta$  less than  $25^\circ$ , obstruction angle more than  $65^\circ$ ) it is often impossible to achieve reasonable daylight, even if the whole window wall is glazed.

In general a dwelling, or non-domestic building that has a particular requirement for sunlight, will appear reasonably sunlit provided:

- at least one main window wall faces within  $90^\circ$  of due south and
- a habitable room, preferably a main living room, can receive a total of at least 1.5 hours of sunlight on 21 March. This is assessed at the inside centre of the window(s); sunlight received by different windows can be added provided they occur at different times and sunlight hours are not double counted.

Where groups of dwellings are planned, site layout design should aim to maximise the number of dwellings with a main living room that meets the above recommendations.

If a living room of an existing dwelling has a main window facing within  $90^\circ$  of due south, and any part of a new development subtends an angle of more than  $25^\circ$  to the horizontal measured from the centre of the window in a vertical section perpendicular to the window, then the sun lighting of the existing dwelling may be adversely affected. This will be the case if the centre of the window:

receives less than 25% of annual probable sunlight hours and less than 0.80 times its former annual value; or less than 5% of annual probable sunlight hours between 21 September and 21 March and less than 0.80 times its former value during that period;

- and also has a reduction in sunlight received over the whole year greater than 4% of annual probable sunlight hours.

The British Standard “Daylight in buildings” (BS EN 17037) contains advice and guidance on interior daylighting. The guidance contained in this publication (BR 209) is intended to be used with BS EN 17037 and its UK National Annex[C1]. Other European countries have their own versions of EN17037, which do not include the UK National Annex.

BS EN 17037 supersedes BS 8206 Part 2 “Code of practice for daylighting”[C2], which contained a method of assessment based on Average Daylight Factor, which is now no longer recommended. For daylight provision in buildings, BS EN 17037 provides two methodologies. One is based on target illuminances from daylight to be achieved over specified fractions of the reference plane (a plane at table top height covering the room) for at least half of the daylight hours in a typical year. The other, alternative, method is based on calculating the daylight factors achieved over specified fractions of the reference plane.

**BS EN 17037 gives three levels of recommendation for daylight provision in interior spaces: minimum, medium and high. For compliance with the standard, a daylit space should achieve the minimum level of recommendation.**

**Daylight factor method**

The daylight factor is the illuminance at a point on the reference plane in a space, divided by the illuminance on an unobstructed horizontal surface outdoors. The CIE standard overcast sky[C3] is used, and the ratio is usually expressed as a percentage.

Table C2 gives the daylight factor targets for side lit rooms in London.

Table C2 – Target daylight factors (D) for London		
Level of recommendation	Target daylight factor D for half of assessment grid	Target daylight factor D for 95% of assessment grid
Minimum	2.1%	0.7%
Medium	3.5%	2.1%
High	5.3%	3.5%

## METHODOLOGY

### Surface reflectance

Internal and exterior surfaces and obstructions need to be modelled including appropriate surface reflectances.

Surface reflectances should represent real conditions. Where reflectance values have not been measured or specified, default values to be used in the calculation are given in Table C4.

Table C4 – Recommended default surface reflectances	
Surface	Default reflectance
Interior walls	0.5
Ceilings	0.7
Floors	0.2
Exterior walls and obstructions	0.2
Exterior ground	0.2

Where surface finishes have been specified or measured on site, they can be used in the calculations with appropriate factors for maintenance and furniture. To allow for these factors, maximum reflectances for white painted surfaces in the calculations should not exceed 0.8 indoors, and 0.6 outdoors. Maximum reflectances for light pastel walls should not exceed 0.7 in the calculations, and maximum reflectances for light wood floors should not exceed 0.4. Surface reflectances used should be presented in the assessment, along with a specification of the materials if non-default reflectances are used.

### Glazing transmission

Glazing transmission factors, including maintenance factors, need to be included in the simulation along with account for, or modelling of, window framing. Where window frames are not specifically included in the model, frame factors should be applied based on the ratio of glass to overall window aperture area for the type of window to be used; this will generally vary with window size and whether the windows have opening lights. Where window types have not been specified, results for the overall window aperture should be multiplied by a default framing factor as given in Table C5.

Table C5 – Recommended default framing factors	
Window type	Default framing factor
Windows with small panes	0.5
Normal windows with opening lights	0.6
Patio doors	0.7

## SITE

The proposed site is located in a predominantly residential area and therefore, a daylight, sunlight and overshadowing assessment was undertaken to determine the potential impact of the proposed development on these neighbouring areas.

The proposal includes the re-development of the existing dwelling with a new massing and a larger loft to provide additional residential area.

This assessment considers all neighbouring properties, that could possibly be affected from the construction of the roof extension.



Figure 1 – Site Location (left image) & Proposed Site Plan (right image)

Possibly affected properties:

1. Highgate – 8 windows were identified facing or located adjacent to the proposed site.
2. High Meadow – 11 windows were identified facing or located adjacent to the proposed site.

Please note that further neighbouring windows from Highgate or High Meadow properties which are not orientated close to the proposed site can be excluded from this assessment.

Taking into consideration the above site observations, 2 neighbouring properties have been assessed within this report.



# DAYLIGHT & SUNLIGHT ASSESSMENT

## Vertical Sky Component (VSC)

VSC analysis of each window was carried out. The results are listed in the following pages.

If the VSC is greater than 27%, then enough skylight should still be reaching the window and the levels of daylight experienced in the space should not be seriously affected.

## Vertical Sky Component Assessment

**Table 1 – Vertical Sky Component for the existing properties, Pre & Post Development**

Vertical Sky Component		Pre development	Post development	Difference	VSC after Proposal	Comments
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	BRE VSC %	BRE VSC %		>27	
Highgate	<b>Ground Floor</b>					<b>The difference at pre and post construction is kept to a minimum. Negligible impact.</b>
	Win01(rear elevation)	30.0%	30.4%	0.4	Yes	
	Win02(rear elevation)	31.2%	31.2%	0	Yes	
	Win03(rear elevation)	31.2%	31.2%	0	Yes	
	Win04(rear elevation)	30.6%	30.6%	0	Yes	
	<b>First Floor</b>					
	Win05(rear elevation)	32.8%	32.9%	0.1	Yes	
	Win06(rear elevation)	33.6%	33.6%	0	Yes	
	Win07(rear elevation)	33.6%	33.6%	0	Yes	
	Win08(rear elevation)	33.0%	33.0%	0	Yes	

Results demonstrate the minimum difference of the Vertical Sky Component between pre- and post-construction phase for all neighbouring windows. The proposed dwelling will not have a negative impact on the neighbouring property at Highgate.

Table 2 – Vertical Sky Component for the existing properties, Pre &amp; Post Development

Vertical Sky Component		Pre development	Post development	Difference	VSC after Proposal	Comments
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	BRE VSC %	BRE VSC %		>27	
High Meadow	<b>Ground Floor</b>					<b>The difference at pre and post construction is kept to a minimum. Negligible impact.</b>
	Win01(front elevation)	29.3%	29.3%	0	Yes	
	Win02(front elevation)	29.8%	29.8%	0	Yes	
	Win03(side elevation)	19.2%	18.2%	1.0	No	
	<b>First Floor</b>					
	Win04(front elevation)	31.0%	30.4%	0.6%	Yes	
	Win05(front elevation)	30.7%	30.7%	0	Yes	
	Win06(front elevation)	30.7%	30.7%	0	Yes	
	Win07(front elevation)	31.0%	31.0%	0	Yes	
	Win08(side elevation)	22.3%	21.5%	0.8	No	
	Win09(side elevation)	33.8%	22.9%	0.9	No	
	Win10(side elevation)	29.0%	28.6%	0.4	Yes	
	Win11(rear elevation)	33.7%	33.7%	0	Yes	

Results confirm that the pre-development Vertical Sky Component calculations for some existing windows, fall below the recommended BRE Guideline value of 27%. This could be interpreted that the neighbouring' windows already receive poor daylight and sunlight levels.

Further results demonstrate the minimum difference of the Vertical Sky Component between pre- and post- construction phase for the neighbouring windows at High Meadow. Thus, the proposed dwelling can be considered appropriate for the specific site.

**Sunlight Assessment – Annual Probable Sunlight Hours**

Annual probable sunlight hours (APSH) is a measure of sunlight that a given window may expect over a year period. The BRE guidance recognises that sunlight is less important than daylight in the amenity of a room and is heavily influenced by orientation. North facing windows may receive sunlight on only a handful of occasions in a year, and windows facing eastwards or westwards will only receive sunlight for some of the day. Therefore, BRE guidance states that only windows with an orientation within 90 degrees of south need be assessed.

For sunlight studies the APSH (annual probable hours) test calculates the percentage of statistically probable hours of sunlight received by each window in both the summer and winter months. From March 21<sup>st</sup> to September 21<sup>st</sup> – Summer period and from the 21<sup>st</sup> September to 21<sup>st</sup> of March – Winter period.

Sunlight is measured using a sun indicator which contains 100 spots, each representing 1% of APSH. Therefore, where no obstruction exists the total annual probable sunlight hours would amount to 1486 and therefore each spot equates to 14.86 hours of the total annual sunlight hours. Following are the recommended Sunlight hours for London. Total recommended sunlight hours:

= 25% of APSH  
= 25% of 1486hrs  
=  $(25/100) \times 1486$   
= 371.5hrs/yr

Recommended sunlight hours for winter

= 5% of APSH  
= 5% of 1486hrs  
=  $(5/100) \times 1486$   
= 74.3hrs/yr

Table 3 – Annual Probable Sunlight Hours for existing properties, after the proposed development

Annual Probable Sunlight Hours		Post development
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	>371.5hrs
Highgate	<b>Ground Floor</b>	
	Win01(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win02(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win03(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win04(rear elevation)	Yes
	<b>First Floor</b>	
	Win05(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win06(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win07(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win08(rear elevation)	Yes

Table 4 – Annual Probable Sunlight Hours for existing properties, after the proposed development

Annual Probable Sunlight Hours		Post development
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	>371.5hrs
High Meadow	<b>Ground Floor</b>	
	Win01(front elevation)	Yes
	Win02(front elevation)	Yes
	Win03(side elevation)	Yes
	<b>First Floor</b>	
	Win04(front elevation)	Yes
	Win05(front elevation)	Yes
	Win06(front elevation)	Yes
	Win07(front elevation)	Yes
	Win08(side elevation)	Yes
	Win09(side elevation)	Yes
	Win10(side elevation)	Yes
	Win11(rear elevation)	Yes

Results show that ALL neighbouring windows, will receive adequate sunlight hours during the year after the re-development at Cetlas.

Table 5 – Winter Probable Sunlight Hours for existing properties, after the proposed development

Winter Probable Sunlight Hours		Post development
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	>74.3hrs
Highgate	<b>Ground Floor</b>	
	Win01(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win02(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win03(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win04(rear elevation)	Yes
	<b>First Floor</b>	
	Win05(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win06(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win07(rear elevation)	Yes
	Win08(rear elevation)	Yes

Table 6 – Winter Probable Sunlight Hours for existing properties, after the proposed development

Winter Probable Sunlight Hours		Post development
Assessed neighbouring property:	Window no.	>74.3hrs
High Meadow	<b>Ground Floor</b>	
	Win01(front elevation)	Yes
	Win02(front elevation)	Yes
	Win03(side elevation)	Yes
	<b>First Floor</b>	
	Win04(front elevation)	Yes
	Win05(front elevation)	Yes
	Win06(front elevation)	Yes
	Win07(front elevation)	Yes
	Win08(side elevation)	Yes
	Win09(side elevation)	Yes
	Win10(side elevation)	Yes
	Win11(rear elevation)	Yes

Results show that ALL neighbouring windows will achieve the recommended 74.3hours of sunlight during the winter period.

# OVERSHADOWING ASSESSMENT

## Sunlight to Amenity Spaces

An assessment of the sunlight levels of the open spaces was undertaken. Two amenity spaces were identified.

BRE guidance states that the test should be run on 21 March which is the midpoint between the summer and winter solstices (equinox). According to BRE, the sunlight hours on this day should be no less than 2 hours.

The proposed scheme has been designed with specific attention to safeguard the natural light of all the neighbouring gardens.

Detailed model study in Ecotect Analysis demonstrates that more than 50% of each rear garden will receive the minimum of 2 hours of sunlight on the 21<sup>st</sup> March.

Specifically:

- Highgate – Will receive approximately: 6.84hrs
- High Meadow – Will receive approximately: 7.128hrs



Figure 1 – Sunlight hours for open spaces at Highgate and High Meadow

## CONCLUSION

The proposed residential scheme at Cetlas, Farm Road, has been designed with care so that it has minimum visual impact on its surroundings, achieving as much sunlight hours as possible despite unavoidable site constraints and limitations.

Calculations confirm that existing neighbouring properties will still receive adequate annual probable sunlight hours and adequate sunlight hours during the winter period.

Calculations demonstrate that the Vertical Sky Component for all neighbouring windows focuses on the minimum difference between pre- and post-construction. Results show that the impact is considered negligible for the 2 assessed neighbouring properties – Highgate and High Meadows.

Two rear gardens were identified next to the proposed site. The overshadowing assessment confirms that each amenity area will receive more than the BRE recommended 2hrs of sunlight.

The assessment of daylight, sunlight and overshadowing to the surrounding properties indicates that the proposed scheme, will not cause a change in light levels to neighbouring occupants.

It is worth noting that the daylight standards are for guidance and their purpose is to encourage good daylight levels within a dwelling as a whole. In this development the daylight & sunlight levels have achieved a satisfactory result.

## APPENDIX A



Figure 2 – *Highgate property* (rear elevation - numbering of windows from left to right)



Figure 5 – *High Meadows property* (front elevation - numbering of windows from left to right)





Figure 3 - *High Meadows property* (front elevation - numbering of windows from left to right)



Figure 6 - *High Meadows property* (rear elevation)