

DELEGATED DECISION

- Please select each of the categories that enables this application to be determined under delegated powers
 - Criteria 1 to 5 or criteria 7 to 9 must be addressed for all categories of application, except for applications for Certificates of Lawfulness, etc.

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED: GENERAL

Select Option

1. No valid planning application objection in the form of a petition of 20 or more signatures, has been received ☐
2. Application complies with all relevant planning policies and is acceptable on planning grounds ☐
3. There is no Committee resolution for the enforcement action ☐
4. There is no effect on listed buildings or their settings ☐
5. The site is not in the Green Belt (but see 11 below) ☐

REFUSAL RECOMMENDED: GENERAL

6. Application is contrary to relevant planning policies/standards ☐
7. No petition of 20 or more signatures has been received ☐
8. Application has not been supported independently by a person/s ☐
9. The site is not in Green Belt (but see 11 below) ☐

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

10. Single dwelling or less than 10 dwelling units and/or a site of less than 0.5 ha ☐
11. Householder application in the Green Belt ☐

COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL AND RETAIL DEVELOPMENT

12. Change of use of retail units on site less than 1 ha or with less than 1000 sq m other than a change involving a loss of A1 uses ☐
13. Refusal of change of use from retail class A1 to any other use ☐
14. Change of use of industrial units on site less than 1 ha or with less than 1000sq.m. of floor space other than to a retail use. ☐

CERTIFICATE OF LAWFULNESS

15. Certificate of Lawfulness (for proposed use or Development) ☐
16. Certificate of Lawfulness (for existing use or Development) ☐
17. Certificate of Appropriate Alternative Development ☐

CERTIFICATE OF LAWFULNESS

18. ADVERTISEMENT CONSENT (excluding Hoardings) ☐
19. PRIOR APPROVAL APPLICATION ☐
20. OUT-OF-BOROUGH OBSERVATIONS ☐
21. CIRCULAR 18/84 APPLICATION ☐
22. CORPSEWOOD COVENANT APPLICATION ☐
23. APPROVAL OF DETAILS ☐
24. ANCILLARY PLANNING AGREEMENT (S.106 or S.278) where Heads of Terms have already received Committee approval ☐
25. WORKS TO TREES ☐
26. OTHER (please specify) ☐

The delegation powers schedule has been checked. Director of Residents Services can determine this application.

Case Officer

Signature:

Date:

A delegated decision is appropriate and the recommendation, conditions/reasons for refusal and informatives are satisfactory.

Team Manager:

Signature:

Date:

The decision notice for this application can be issued.

Director / Member of Senior Management Team:

Signature:

Date:

NONE OF THE ABOVE DATES SHOULD BE USED IN THE PS2 RETURNS TO THE ODPM

Report of the Head of Planning, Sport and Green Spaces

Address 57 OLD FARM ROAD WEST DRAYTON

Development: Installation of two front rooflights (Application for a Certificate of Lawful Development for a Proposed Development))

LBH Ref Nos: 17747/APP/2013/2879

Drawing Nos: 13-015/200 Rev. 03
13-015/010

Date Plans Received: 02/10/2013 **Date(s) of Amendment(s):** 02/10/2013

Date Application Valid: 08/10/2013

1. RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 Recommendations

That a certificate of lawful use or development be **GRANTED** for the proposed development described above in respect of the land edged red on the attached plans for the following reasons:

The proposed development constitutes permitted development by virtue of the provisions of Schedule 2, Part 1, Class C of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 as amended by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) (No2) (England) Order 2008.

This determination is based on your submitted plans. All measurements are taken from existing ground level.

INFORMATIVES

2.0 Planning Considerations

The application is for a Certificate of Lawful Development for two proposed rooflights in the principal roofslope of the dwelling.

The plans indicate that the proposed roof lights would:

- i) Not exceed the height of the highest part of the roof,
- ii) Have Roof lights less than 150mm above roof plane and lower than highest part of original roof.
- iii) Would not involve the installation, alteration or replacement of solar photovoltaics or solar thermal equipment.

Therefore, the proposed development would meet all the criteria contained within Schedule 2, Part 1, Class C of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended) and would constitute permitted development.

3.0 Relevant Planning History

4.0 ALL CLASSES

Is the dwelling a flat or a maisonette?
NO

Is there a planning condition removing permitted development rights?
NO

Is the building listed?
NO

CLASS C - Any Other Alt. to the Roof of a Dwellinghouse

Would the alteration protrude more than 150 millimetres beyond the plane of the slope of the original roof when measured from the perpendicular with the external surface of the original?
NO

Would it result in the highest part of the alteration being higher than the highest part of the original roof?
NO

Would it consist or include the installation, alteration or replacement of a chimney, flue or soil and vent pipe?
NO

Would it involve the installation, alteration or replacement of solar photovoltaics or solar thermal equipment?
NO

NOTE: Installation of solar photovoltaics may fall within permitted development under Part 40 of Class A

5.0 Other including Measurements

Rooflights will project less than 150 millimetres beyond the plane of the slope of the original roof.

Contact Officer: Ed Laughton

Telephone No: 01895 250230